

September 2022 Sea Ice Outlook Key Statements

Contributor	Model Type	Model Name	Arctic Extent	Median	Standard Deviation	Low Error Bound	High Error Bound	Antarctic Extent	Alaska Extent	Maximum Alaska Extent	Uncertainty Estimate Summary	Pan-Arctic Sea Ice Extent Anomaly	Executive Summary	Method Summary	Sea Ice Concentration Data	Sea Ice Thickness Data	Post-Processing Description
APPLICATE Benchmark	Statistical/ML		4.72	4.72	0.4	3.91	5.53	17.84			Same as previous submissions		Same as previous submissions	Same as previous submissions	Same as previous submissions	Same as previous submissions	Same as previous submissions
PoArctic	Statistical/ML		4.78										This is PoArctic's fourth year submitting to the Sea Ice Outlook. Our September extent prediction is 4.78 million square kilometers. Our efforts are to investigate the usefulness of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AIML) as a predictive tool for Arctic sea ice extent. Hidden and non-linear relationships can be exposed through the use of AIML when high quality data is available. NSIDC's daily record of sea ice extent creates the perfect test bed to leverage and assess the power of AIML.	PoArctic's September SIO extent was generated using our Artificial Intelligence algorithm, and trained with historical NSIDC daily ice extent data. Our initial modeling efforts are to generate high quality seasonal forecasts of daily, spatial and temporal sea ice extent. To calculate our September extent outlook, daily results in September 2022 from our model are averaged.	NA	NA	NA
CPOM UCL (Gregory et al.)	Statistical/ML		4.97		0.28	4.69	5.25		0.59	4	Forecasts are Gaussian distributions. Forecast represents the mean, and uncertainties are given by the standard deviation	0.76	This statistical model computes a forecast of pan-Arctic September sea ice extent. Monthly averaged August sea ice concentration fields between 1979 and 2022 were used to create a climate network (based on the approach of Gregory et al. 2020). This was then utilised in a Bayesian Linear Regression in order to forecast September extent. The model predicts a pan-Arctic extent of 4.97 million square kilometers. Sea ice concentration data were taken from NSIDC (Cavalieri et al., 1996; Masarik and Stroeve 1999)	Monthly averaged August sea ice concentration (SIC) data between 1979 and 2022 were used to create a August SIC climate(ensemble) network. Individual SIC grid cells were first clustered into regions of spatio-temporal homogeneity by using a community detection algorithm (see Gregory et al. 2020). Links between each of these network regions (covariance) were then passed into a Bayesian Linear Regression to derive an estimate on the prior distribution of the regression parameters. Subsequently a posterior distribution of the regression parameters was then derived in order to generate the forecast of September sea ice extent.	N/A	N/A	
NCEP-EMC (Wu et al.)	Dynamic Model	a) Model Name: NCEP CFSv2 b) Component Name Initialization Atmosphere NCEP GFS/IV3 NCEP CDAS Ocean GFDL MOM4 NCEP GODAS ICE Modified GFDL SIS SIC nudging c) 124 ensemble members (August 1-August 31 2022, each day from at 4 cycles)	5.01		0.47			18.84					The projected Arctic minimum sea ice extent from the NCEP CFSv2 model August initial conditions (ICs) using 124-member ensemble forecast (4 cycles each day August 1 to August 31) is 5.01 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 0.47 million square kilometers. The corresponding number for the Antarctic (maximum) is 18.84 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 1.01 million square kilometers.	We used the NCEP CFSv2 model with 124-cases of August 2022 initial conditions (4-cycles each day August 1-31) and model.	NCEP Sea Ice Concentration Analysis for the CFSv2 (August 1 to August 31, 2022)	NCEP CFSv2 model guess (August 1-August 31, 2022)	
Slater-Barett (NSIDC)	Statistical/ML		5.12										This projection was made using the Slater Probabilistic Ice Extent model developed by Drew Slater (http://icesat.colostate.edu/~slater/SEAICE/). The model computes the probability of sea ice concentration greater than 15% for Arctic Ocean grid cells in the EASE 25 km grid. These probabilities are aggregated over the model domain to arrive at daily ice extents. A September mean ice extent is calculated from daily forecasts issued on September 1. While the model's predictive lead time extends up to 90 days, NSIDC runs the forecast model with a 50 day lead time. Forecasts issued on September 1 for September have lead times spanning 11 to 111 days. Therefore we consider the mean September ice extent forecast for the July sea ice outlook to have some skill.	This is a non-parametric statistical model of Arctic sea ice extent. The model computes the probability of whether ice concentration greater than 15% will exist at a particular location for a particular lead time into the future, given current ice concentration. The only input is sea ice concentration. Probabilities are computed using data from the past 10 years. These probabilities are adjusted using daily near-real-time concentrations to make a forecast. Pan-Arctic ice extent is the sum of the product of grid-box area the probability of a grid-box containing ice on the forecast date. While not as sophisticated as a coupled ocean-ice-atmosphere models, this statistical method has the advantage that the forecasts for all points are completely independent in both space and time, that is, the forecast at any given point is not affected by its neighbors, nor its result from the prior day. Therefore, the model can adapt to changing conditions and is not inherently subject to drift. The model has performed well in comparison to others in the 2013/2014 SIPN Outlooks, in both extent value and spatial distribution. For 2012, a September mean forecast of below 4 million square kilometers was given. However, the model has also missed by as much as 0.6 million square kilometers in some years. Forecasting is difficult, but the model does have genuine skill at lead times as long as 90 days. Skill improves as lead time decreases, and September is the month with highest skill	https://nsidc.org/data/nsid-c-0081	None	
Kondrashov, Dmitri (UCLA)	Statistical/ML		4.85		0.1				0.45		This uncertainty corresponds to standard deviation of stochastic ensemble spread.	0.4	This model forecast is based on statistical/ML stochastic modeling techniques applied to the regional Arctic Sea Ice Extent (SIE) dataset		NA	NA	
EMCNCEP (UFS)	Dynamic Model	a) Model Name: NCEP UFS b) Component Name Initialization Atmosphere NCEP GFS/IV3 NCEP CDAS Ocean GFDL MOM4 NCEP GODAS ICE CICE5 CPC CSIS c) 28 ensemble members (May 3-9, June 3-9, July 3-9 and August 3-9 2022, each day 00Z with C192)	4.91		0.29			19.05					The projected Arctic minimum sea ice extent from the NCEP Unified Forecast System (UFS) model May-August initial conditions (ICs) using 28-member ensemble forecast (00Z May 3-9, June 3-9, July 3-9 and August 3-9 with C192) is 4.91 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 0.29 million square kilometers. The corresponding number for the Antarctic (maximum) is 19.05 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 0.26 million square kilometers.	We used the NCEP UFS model with 28-cases of May to August 2022 initial conditions (May 3-9, June 3-9, July 3-9 and August 3-9 with C192) and bias-corrected for the Arctic.	NASA Team Analysis from NSIDC (May 3-9, June 3-9, July 3-9 and August 3-9, 2022)	CPC sea ice initialization system (CSIS) (May 3-9, June 3-9, July 3-9 and August 3-9, 2022)	
University of Washington/APL	Dynamic Model	Pan-Arctic Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (PIOMAS, Zhang and Rothrock, 2003), with coupled sea ice and ocean model components. The ocean model is the POP (Parallel Ocean Program) model and sea ice model is the thickness, floe size, and enthalpy distribution (TRED) model (Zhang et al., 2016). Atmospheric forcing is from the NCEP Climate Forecast System (CFS) version 2 (Saha et al., 2014) hindcast and forecast. To obtain the "best possible" initial ice-ocean conditions for the forecasts, we conducted a retrospective simulation that assimilates satellite ice concentration and SST data through the end of August 2022 using the CFS hindcast forcing data. We also assimilated CryoSat2 ice thickness available up to April 2020.	5		0.4							0.78	Driven by the NCEP CFS forecast atmospheric forcing, PIOMAS is used to predict the total September 2022 Arctic sea ice extent as well as ice thickness field and ice edge location, starting on August 1. The predicted September ice extent is 5.00±0.40 million square kilometers. The predicted ice thickness fields and ice edge locations for September 2022 are also available (see attachment).	The PIOMAS forecasting system is based on a synthesis of PIOMAS, the NCEP CFS hindcast and forecast atmospheric forcing satellite observations of ice concentration and sea surface temperature (SST), and CryoSat2 observations of sea ice thickness	Initial SIC is from PIOMAS hindcast that also assimilates satellite SIC (NASA team) available from NSIDC (https://nsidc.org/data/nsid-c-0081).	Initial SIT is from PIOMAS hindcast that also assimilates CryoSat2 SIT data up to April 2020 (https://psc.apl.uw.edu/sea_ice_cdtr/).	
Lamont (Yuan and Li)	Statistical/ML		4.49			4.15	4.83	18.12	0.49		The SIE uncertainty measured by RMSE is 0.34 million square kilometers for the one-month lead prediction of the pan-Arctic sea ice extent.	0.28	A linear Markov model is used to predict monthly Arctic sea ice concentration (SIC) at all grid points in the pan-Arctic region (Yuan et al., 2016). The model has been trained this month using SIC, atmosphere variables and SST from 1979 to 2021. It is capable of capturing the co-variability in the ocean-sea ice-atmosphere system. The September pan-Arctic sea ice extent (SIE) is calculated from predicted SIC. The model predicts negative SIC anomalies throughout the pan-Arctic region. At the one-month lead, the September mean pan-Arctic SIE is predicted to be 4.49 million square kilometers (mkm) with an RMSE of 0.34 mkm. The RMSE is estimated based on our model cross-validation experiments from 1979-2021. The Alaskan regional SIE is predicted to be 0.49 mkm with RMSE of 0.13 mkm. A similar statistical model was also developed to predict the SIE in the Antarctic (Chen and Yuan, 2004). The September mean pan Antarctic SIE is predicted to be 18.12 mkm, lower than September 2021, with an RMSE of 0.67 mkm based on model cross-validation experiments.	region at the seasonal timescale. The model employs six variables: NASA Team sea ice concentration, sea surface temperature (ERSST), surface air temperature, GH000, vector winds at GH300 (NCEP/NCAR reanalysis) from 1979 to 2021. It is built in multivariate EOF space. The model utilizes the first 11 EOF modes and uses a Markov process to predict these principal components forecast one month at a time. The pan-Arctic sea ice extent forecast is calculated by summing all cell areas where predicted sea ice concentration exceeds 15%.	NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/nsid-c-0081 , https://doi.org/10.5067/URC350WVXSLM .	N/A	First, a constant bias correction was applied to Arctic SIC prediction at each grid point. These biases were estimated based on the take-one-year-out cross-validated predictions for 1979-2021. Then a constant error prediction derived from the cross-validation experiments from 1979 to 2021 was corrected from the September SIE prediction. Finally, the model uses a lower resolution for sea ice concentration data (2-degree longitude x 0.5-degree latitude), introducing a 0.10 million square kilometers bias compared to 25kmx25km original satellite data. This resolution bias is corrected in the final Arctic SIE prediction.
Horvath, et al.	Statistical/ML		4.8									NA	This statistical model computes the probability that sea ice will be present (concentration above 15%) for each grid cell in NSIDC's polar stereographic projection. Yearly data from 1980 through the present are used in a Bayesian logistic regression. Predictors include local surface air temperature, downwelling longwave radiation, and sea ice concentration, as well as the first principal component of geopotential height at 500mbars, and Pacific and Atlantic sea surface temperatures. Sea ice concentration data was obtained from NSIDC's Sea Ice Index V3 (Data Set ID: C02155), all other variables are from ERA5	NA	NA		
Sun, Nico	Statistical/ML		4.9	4.9		4.87	4.91	18.11	0.48	4		0.34	See previous month	See previous month	NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/nsid-c-0081	NSIDC SIC * 1.4m	None

RASM@NFS (Maslowki et al.)	Dynamic Model	The version of Regional Arctic System Model (RASM v2_1_00) used for this contribution consists of the following components: Ocean: POP2.1 Atmosphere: WRF3.7.1 Sea-ice: CICE 5.1.2 Land hydrology: VIC 4.0.6 River streamflow routing: RVIC 1.0.0 Flux Coupler: CPL 7 This model initial condition for ensemble forecast was derived from the RASM fully-coupled hindcast simulation, forced with CFSR/CFSv2 analysis for September 1979 through August 2022. The ocean and sea ice initial conditions at the beginning of the hindcast were derived from the 32-year spinup of the ocean-sea ice model only (RASM G-case) forced with CORE2 analysis for 1948-1979.	4.751	4.747	0.071	4.611	4.93	0.401	3.927	-0.198	The uncertainty of pan-Arctic September sea ice extent was estimated from the 31 ensemble members: see also Fig. 4 in the supplementary material.	The Arctic sea ice extent September 2022 minimum is predicted to roughly continue the September declining trend of ~0.208x10 ⁶ km ² /decade based on 2000-2021 output from the Regional Arctic System Model (RASM) fully-coupled hindcast simulation. The difference between the 31-member ensemble mean September sea ice extent prediction and the extrapolation 2000-2021 linear trend into 2022 is 0.198x10 ⁶ km ² . Compared to the RASM sea ice extent minimum September 2021 (4.685x10 ⁶ km ² from the hindcast), the ensemble mean forecast for September 2022 minimum (4.751x10 ⁶ km ²) is slightly higher by 0.055x10 ⁶ km ² , suggesting a brief rebound from the 2007 and 2012 minima. According to the RASM ensemble mean predicted September sea ice thickness distribution, the majority of surviving ice thickness ranges between 1.0 and 1.5 m, with the thickest sea ice north of the Canadian Archipelago and Greenland within the range of 1.5 m to 2.5 m, and almost no sea ice thicker than 3.0 m (see Fig. 3 in the supplementary material). The RASM September outlook has been commonly biased high in recent years (bias of 0.070x10 ⁶ km ² and standard deviation of 0.415x10 ⁶ km ²) compared to the NSIDC observation (2000-2021), especially in the northern BarentsKara and East Siberian seas.	We used RASM2_1_00, which is a recent version of the limited-area, fully coupled climate model consisting of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF), Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Parallel Ocean Program (POP) and Sea Ice Model (CICE). Variable Inflation Capacity (VIC) land hydrology and routing scheme (RVIC) model components (Maslowki et al., 2017; Robert et al., 2015; DuVivier et al., 2015; Hamman et al., 2016; Hamman et al., 2017; Cassano et al., 2017). The RASM fully-coupled hindcast simulation is only forced along WRF lateral boundaries with CFSR/CFSv2 reanalysis output, and winds and temperature are nudged above 500 mb for September 1979-August 2022. Then, the dynamically down-cast RASM used the global NOAA/NCAR CFSv2 7-month forecasts for 6-month prediction. The CFSv2 forcing (https://www.rice.noaa.gov/data/climate-forecast-system/access/operations/3-month-forecast/) streams used for the forecast ensemble members were initialized every day (at 00:00) between August 1st and August 31st and used for RASM forcing at 00:00 on September 1st, 2022 and onward until the end of February 2023. Each of the 31 ensemble members ran forward for 6 months using outputs from the CFSv2 forecasts since we skip the first calendar month of each CFSv2 forcing.	The initial sea ice conditions for the September Sea Ice Outlook were derived from the RASM fully-coupled hindcast (September 1979-August 2022) and are physically and internally consistent across all the model components. Neither data assimilation nor bias correction was used.	See the above.	Daily mean sea ice with concentration >15% and thickness >= 20 cm was excluded in the estimates of September sea ice extent.
METNO-SPARSE-ST	Statistical/ML		5.104	5.104	0.243	4.618	5.59	17.735			85% confidence	AR model with NSIDC SIE data	AR model with NSIDC SIE data	NA	NA	
UQAM (VARCTIC)	Statistical/ML		4.7	4.7		4.22	5.2				The lower bound constitutes the 5th percentile and the upper bound the 95th percentile of the credible region. Done via the posterior distribution obtained by standard Bayesian Methods for linear Vector Autoregressions.	When it comes to forecasting sea ice, there is tension between using for statistical methods vs forecasts based on climate models. While the former are usually designed for the prediction task, they usually lack interpretive potential. That is, we may get a good forecast, but it is hard to know why. Institutions in charge of macroeconomic policy have been facing such dilemmas for years. One model, Vector Autoregressions, have been an increasingly popular tool to forecast economic aggregates as they are a compromise between theory-based methods and statistical ones. As a result, it is possible to obtain an explainable forecast which are the results of dynamic interactions between key Arctic variables. Hence, our forecast implicitly uses physical transmission mechanisms in the data, without specifying them explicitly.	The VARCTIC, which is a Vector Autoregression (VAR) designed to capture and extrapolate Arctic feedback loops. VARs are dynamic simultaneous systems of equations, routinely estimated to predict and understand the interactions of multiple macroeconomic time series. Hence, the VARCTIC is a parsimonious compromise between fully-blown climate models and purely statistical approaches that usually offer little explanation of the underlying mechanism. Precisely, we use an 7-variable Bayesian Vector Autoregression (VAR) with 12 lags and a constant which we refer to as the VARCTIC. We estimate the model over the period from January 1980 until July 2022. A detailed description can be found in the following paper: https://journals.ametoc.org/view/journals/clim/34/13/CLICL-20-0324.1.pdf	Fetterer, F., K. Knowles, W. N. Meier, M. Savoie, and A. K. Windgapel. 2017. Updated daily Sea Ice Index, Version 3. Boulder, Colorado USA: NSIDC National Snow and Ice Data Center. doi: https://doi.org/10.7927/N5K07276 .	PIOMAS, http://psc.apl.uw.edu/wrps/ftp/content/uploads/schweizerpie_volume/PIOMAS_thick_dail_1979_2022_Current_v2.1.dat.pdf .	
UPem-UQAM Group	Statistical/ML		5.02	5.02	0.09	4.84	5.2				estimated stochastic model. The standard deviation computed from last 10 years prediction errors from a recursive pseudo-out-of-sample exercise.	The UPem-UQAM group is composed of economists and statisticians interested in predictive modeling of many aspects of climate in its relation to economic activity. The Arctic and Arctic sea ice in particular – is of particular interest to us. As we know, the Arctic is warming about twice as fast as the global average, and the Arctic amplification in surface air temperature is of course closely connected to the dramatic multi-decade reduction in Northern sea ice. This loss of sea ice is one of the most conspicuous warning signs of (anthropogenic) climate change, and it also plays an integral role in the timing and intensity of feedbacks in the global climate change. Not surprisingly then, we are keenly interested in predictive modeling of Arctic sea ice, particularly summer ice.	We have supplied a forecast based on a statistical model with trend, a feed-forward top, and stochastic shocks, estimated by direct projection. In the modeling process we explore different levels of aggregation of the underlying high-frequency (daily) concentration data and associated sea ice extent, and we tune the aggregation to optimize the predictive bias/variance tradeoff in forecasting September extent. It turns out that previous pseudo-out-of-sample forecast errors (residuals) are approximately Gaussian, which we exploit in making our out-of-sample forecast for this September. The predictive density is Gaussian, with the mean 5.02 million square kilometers and standard deviation of 0.09 million square kilometers. (By symmetry, the mean and median coincide.) The approximate 95% interval that we report is the mean plus or minus 2 standard deviations.	na	na	
NSIDC (Meier)	Statistical/ML		5.03		0.09		17.7			0.82	Standard deviation of projections from years 2005-2021	This method applies daily ice loss rates to extrapolate from the start date (September 1) through the end of September. Projected September daily extents are averaged to calculate the projected September average extent. Individual years from 2005 to 2021 are used, as well as averages over 1981-2010 and 2007-2021. The 2007-2021 average daily rates are used to estimate the official submitted estimate. The predicted September average extent for 2022 is 5.03 (±0.09) million square kilometers. The minimum daily extent is predicted to be 4.31 (±0.10) million square kilometers and occurs on 16 September. The range of estimates reflects the variability in ice loss rates over the final month of the melt season. Based on the last 17 years (2005-2021), there is a 0% chance that 2022 will be lower than the current record low September extent of 3.57 million sq km in 2012. Using the same method, the predicted Antarctic average extent for September 2022 is 17.70 (±0.26) million square kilometers. The maximum daily extent is predicted to be 17.79 (±0.31) million square kilometers and occurs on 25 September.	This method applies daily ice loss rates to extrapolate from the start date (September 1) through the end of September. Projected September daily extents are averaged to calculate the projected September average extent. Individual years from 2005 to 2021 are used, as well as averages over 1981-2010 and 2007-2021. The 2007-2021 average daily rates are used to estimate the official submitted estimate. The method essentially provides the range of September extents that can be expected based on how the ice has declined in past years, though it is possible that record fast or slow daily loss rates may yield a value outside the projected range. It also can provide a probability of a new record by comparing how many years of loss rates yield a record relative to all years. It has the benefit that it can easily and frequently (daily) desired be updated to provide updated estimates and probabilities and as the minimum approaches the "window" of possible outcomes narrows.	NASA Team algorithm extends from the NSIDC Sea Ice Index, Version 3 (http://nsidc.org/data/seaice/index/)	NA	None
GFDLNOAA (Buhak et al.)	Dynamic Model	Model: GFDL-SPEAR_MED Atmosphere: AMM Initialized from nudged atmosphere and SST run Land: LHM Initialized from nudged atmosphere and SST run Ocean: MOM5 Initialized from ENKF coupled data assimilation Sea Ice: SIS2 Initialized from nudged atmosphere and SST run	5.07	5.07	0.06	4.9	5.18	0.67	3.94	0.86	These statistics are computed using our 30 member prediction ensemble.	Our September 1 prediction for the September-averaged Arctic sea-ice extent is 5.07 million km ² , with an uncertainty range of 4.90-5.18 million km ² . Our prediction is based on the GFDL-SPEAR_MED ensemble forecast system, which is a fully-coupled atmosphere-land-ocean-sea ice model initialized using a coupled data assimilation system. Our prediction is the bias-corrected ensemble mean, and the uncertainty range reflects the lowest and highest sea ice extents in the 30-member ensemble.	Our forecast is based on the GFDL Seamless system for Prediction and Earth System Research (SPEAR MED) model (Delworth et al., 2020), which is a coupled atmosphere-land-ocean-sea ice model. The ocean model is initialized from an Ensemble Kalman Filter coupled data assimilation system (SPEAR EKFDA; Lu et al., 2020), which assimilates observational surface and subsurface ocean data. The sea ice, land, and atmosphere components are initialized from a nudged ensemble run of the coupled SPEAR MED model, which is nudged towards 30-day temperature, wind, and humidity data from CFSR and SST data from OISST. The SST values under sea ice are adjusted to the freezing point of sea water using OISST sea ice concentration data. The performance of this model in seasonal prediction of Arctic sea ice extent has been documented in Buhak et al. (2022). For an evaluation of the model's September sea ice extent prediction skill from a September 1 initialization, see attached report.	OISST SIC data is used to correct assimilated SST values under sea ice.	No SIT data is explicitly used in our initialization procedure.	These forecasts are bias corrected based on a linear regression adjustment using a suite of retrospective forecasts spanning 1950-2021.
FIO-ESM (Shu et al.)	Dynamic Model	FIO-ESM v1.0 Atmosphere CAM4 2000-2022 integration Ocean POP2 ocean data assimilation Ice CICE sea ice data assimilation Wave MASNUM wave model 2000-2022 integration	4.81									Our prediction is based on FIO-ESM (the First Institute of Oceanography/Earth System Model) with data assimilation. The prediction of September pan-Arctic extent in 2022 is 4.81 (+/-0.15) million square kilometers. 4.81 and 0.15 million square kilometers is the average and one standard deviation of 10 ensemble members, respectively.	Our prediction is based on a climate model named FIO-ESM v1.0 (Qiao et al., 2013). Ocean and sea ice data are assimilated to initialize the model (Chen et al., 2016; Shu et al., 2021). The system bias was removed to get bias corrected pan-Arctic September monthly-mean sea ice extent. The system bias is the mean error between reforecast sea ice extent and satellite derived sea ice extent during 2000 to 2009.	OSISAF_OSI430-b, https://osf.saf.eumetsat.int/products/osf-430-complementing-osf-430	PIOMAS, http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/arctic-sea-ice-volume-annual/data/atm_grid	
KOPRI (Chi et al.)	Statistical/ML		5	4.97	0.09	4.83	5.16				We selected ten most accurate models in the training process and then use them for the uncertainty estimate.	KOPRI's prediction model uses the past 12-month data as inputs for the six-month predictions of Arctic sea ice concentration (SIC). The predicted September extent for 2022 is 5.00 million square kilometers using data from September 2021 to August 2022.	KOPRI's fully data-driven model was trained on historical NSIDC's daily SIC data from 1979 to 2021 using a combination of convolutional and recurrent neural networks. Since we observed a large visual discrepancy according to the neural network's loss functions, a new loss function was developed to improve both statistical accuracy and visual agreement. The 6-month prediction model is currently tuning up to improve predictability. Please find our recent published paper: Chi J, Bai J, Kwon YN. Two-Stream Convolutional Long- and Short-Term Memory Model Using Perceptual Loss for Sequence-to-Sequence Arctic Sea Ice Prediction. Remote Sensing. 2021; 13(17):3413. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13173413	NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/seaice/0051 , https://doi.org/10.5067/BG0-BLZ0V0LV , https://nsidc.org/data/seaice/0051 , https://doi.org/10.5067/YTH-OZFJQ97K	NA	Negative SIC predictions over ocean pixels were set to 0% and SIC predictions over 100% were set to 100%. We also used land and coastline masks from NSIDC's SIC data
AWI Consortium	Dynamic Model	NAOSIM v36, 1/4 degree, parameter optimized (opt3.3)	4.46		0.15						Ensemble spread	For the present outlook the coupled sea ice-ocean model NAOSIM has been forced with atmospheric surface data from January 1948 to September 7th 2022 (combination of NCEP-CFSR and NCEP-CFSv2). All ensemble model experiments have been started from the same initial conditions on September 7th 2022. The model setup is identical to the SIO 2019-2021 setup - a forecasting model (about 25km horizontal resolution) with optimized parameters (with the help of a genetic algorithm (Sumata et al., 2019, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-18-0360.1)) is employed. We used atmospheric forcing data from each of the years 2012 to 2021 for the ensemble prediction and thus obtain 10 different realizations of potential sea ice evolution for summer of 2022. The use of an ensemble allows to estimate probabilities of sea-ice extent predictions for September 2022. A variational data assimilation system around NAOSIM is applied to initialize the model using the Alfred Wegener Institute's CryoSat-2 ice thickness product, University of Bremen's snow depth and the OSI SAF ice concentration product 4300 (Interim Climate Data record). In contrast to previous years no sea surface temperature is assimilated due to the lack of this data stream. Only observations from March and April were used. The assimilation system (Kouker et al., 2015, http://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/2015-171/) is unchanged but no bias correction is applied any more to the CryoSat-2 ice thickness - this is not necessary anymore due to the optimization of the forecast model.	Forced sea ice - ocean model initialized in March and April with the forcing from the ten previous years. Prediction potential comes from the initialization in March and April with satellite observations (sea ice thickness, snow depth, SST, and sea ice concentration). Deliberately no observations are assimilated later in the year because the potential of state estimation in March and April with respect to summer sea ice conditions should be evaluated.	CryoSat2 SIT from Alfred Wegener Institute v2.4, Hendricks, S. and Ricker R. (2020): Product User Guide & Algorithm Specification. AWI CryoSat2 Sea Ice Thickness Report, https://epic.awi.de/id/eprint/53331/1/AWI-CryoSat2-ProductUserGuide-v2p3.pdf	None performed.	

SYSU/SML-KNN	Statistica/ML	NA	4.64	4.64	0.31	4.33	4.95				We estimate our uncertainty with root-mean-square-error(RMSE) calculated from 2015-2020 hindcast.	0.44	A machine learning KNN model is used to predict the daily sea ice concentration (SIC) and the sea ice extent (SIE) of September 2022 in pan-Arctic. Daily averaged sea ice concentration (NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/ndbc/0081) fields between 1979 and 2021 were used to predict. The model predicts a pan-Arctic sea ice extent of 5.04(±0.31) million square kilometers and has a positive anomaly of 0.8.	Machine learning algorithm KNN (K-Nearest Neighbors) is used in this prediction. The principle is to find the K nearest neighbors of the input variables from the training data set and the prediction is the mean of the k-NN. In this SIC forecast, we considered the SIC as the training data. At the same time the library comprises simulated climate states selected in the same and adjacent date as the target states. We first compute the distance and pattern correlation for all states in the library. Then we sort the library in descending order based on the pattern correlation between fields to get the prediction of SIE. Then the SIC is obtained by point-by-point calculation and weighting according to the distance.	NA	NA	NA
SYSU/SML-MLM	Statistica/ML	NA	5.07	5.07	0.5	4.57	5.57	0.89			We estimate our uncertainty with root-mean-square-error(RMSE) calculated from 1979-2019 hindcast.	0.86	A multivariate linear Markov model is used to predict monthly sea ice concentration (SIC), from which sea ice extent prediction of monthly September 2021 in Arctic is calculated to be 4.63±0.51 million square kilometers, and the Alaskan regional SIE is predicted to be 0.71±0.25 million square kilometers.	The multivariate linear Markov model is a statistical model that combines principal component analysis and linear Markov model together, it can identify the large scale atmospheric and oceanic variability through principal component analysis and make linear Markov predictions based on its results (Yuan et al., 2016). To make predictions, first we extract time and space component from the data matrix, and we use linear Markov model to predict the target time component, which will be multiplied with space component to make a final prediction. Besides the parameters used in Yuan et al. (2016), e.g., sea ice concentration (SIC), sea surface temperature (SST), surface air temperature (SAT), here we further use monthly surface net radiation flux (NR) data from 1979 to 2019 to train our model. For this attempt, we use 2021 May monthly mean SIC data to initiate our model and make monthly SIC and SIE prediction.	NA	NA	No post-processing.