

Sea Ice Outlook
2022 July Report
Individual Outlook

Name of contributor or name of contributing organization:

Sun, Nico

Is this contribution from a person or group not affiliated with a research organization?

Yes, this contribution is from a "Citizen Scientist."

Name and organization for all contributors. Indicate primary contact and total number of people who may have contributed to your Outlook, even if not included on the author list.

Sun

**Do you want your June contribution to automatically be included in subsequent reports?
(If yes, you may still update your contribution via the submission form.)**

[Do you want your contribution for this month to automatically be included in subsequent reports?]

What is the type of your Outlook projection?

Statistical/ML

Starting in 2017 we are accepting both pan-Arctic and pan-Antarctic sea ice extent (either one or both) of the September monthly mean. As in 2016, we are also collecting Alaskan regional sea ice extent. To be consistent with the validating sea ice extent index from NSIDC, if possible, please first compute the average sea ice concentration for the month and then compute the extent as the sum of cell areas > 15%.

a) Pan-Arctic September extent prediction in million square kilometers.

5.26

b) same as in (a) but for pan-Antarctic. If your method differs substantially from that for the Arctic, please enter it as a separate submission.

18.24

c) same as in (b) but for the Alaskan region. Please also tell us maximum possible extent if every ocean cell in your region were ice covered.

0.6

"Executive summary" of your Outlook contribution (using 300 words or less) describe how and why your contribution was formulated. To the extent possible, use non-technical language.

Each grid-cell is initialized with a thickness derived from the AMSR2 Sea Ice Volume model (<https://cryospherecomputing.tk/SIT>). For each day the model calculates average thickness loss per grid cell using the exact solar radiation energy and the predicted sea ice concentration as an albedo value.

$$\text{Ice-loss(m)} = \text{Energy(solar in MJ)} * (1 - \text{SIC}) / \text{icemeltenergy}$$

SIC = sea ice concentration

$$\text{icemeltenergy} = \text{Meltenergy per m}^3, (333.55 \text{ KJ/kg} * 1000(\text{m}^3/\text{dm}^3) * 0.92(\text{density}) / 1000(\text{MJ/KJ}))$$

Brief explanation of Outlook method (using 300 words or less).

The forecast model is based on ice persistence. It uses incoming solar radiation and sea ice albedo derived from a predicted Sea Ice Concentration (SIC) value to calculate daily thickness losses for every NSIDC 25km grid cell. The initial thickness is calculated from AMSR2 sea ice volume and NSIDC SIC data.

Instead of a long-term mean, the 2022 model predicts SIC change based on correlation to previous years. A special formula calculates a best new mean field based on past years. Years with a very high correlation get weighted more.

For this month the mean field is made up of: "2007,2007,2010,2010,2013,2013,2013,2013"

The mean forecast uses the SIC (1/4 weight) and mean SIC change per day (3/4 weight) to predict future SIC. The low forecast reduces the predicted SIC by 0.3Stdv for previously observed SIC for this day and a 10% increased bottom melt. The high forecast increases the

predicted SIC by 0.10Stdv and a 10% decreased bottom melt.

Since 2020 model includes a bias correction layer to reduce persistent errors of under prediction or over prediction based on past forecasts. This layer simulates ice drift or cold freezing air blowing from landmasses causing refreezing.

Tell us the dataset used for your initial Sea Ice Concentration (SIC).

NSIDC NASA Team, <https://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0081>,
<https://doi.org/10.5067/U8C09DWVX9LM>. Initial SIC 1st June 2022.

Tell us the dataset used for your initial Sea Ice Thickness (SIT) used. Include name and date.

NSIDC SIC * 1.82m

If you use a dynamic model, please specify the name of the model as a whole and each component including version numbers and how the component is initialized:

If available from your method.

a) Uncertainty/probability estimates:

Median

Lower error bound

4.72

Lower error bound

5.57

Standard Deviation

0.3

b) Brief explanation/assessment of basis for the uncertainty estimate (1-2 sentences).

c) Brief description of any post-processing you have done (1-2 sentences).

None