## SEA ICE PREDICTION NETWORK (SIPN)

**Template for Pan-Arctic Sea Ice Outlook Core Contributions** 

July 2015 Report (Using June Data)

1. \*Contributor Name(s)/Group

Mr. Persistence (Andrew Slater)

2. \*Type of Outlook projection \_\_\_\_model \_X\_\_statistical \_\_\_\_heuristic

If you use a model, please specify: Model Name Multi-Persistence Components of the model: Atmosphere\_\_, Ocean\_\_, Ice\_\_, Land\_\_, Coupler\_\_\_\_ For non-coupled model: Ice \_X\_, Ocean\_\_\_, Forcing\_\_\_

3. \*September monthly average projection (in million square kilometers)

## 5.50 or 5.49 or 4.49 x 10<sup>6</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

4. \*Short explanation of Outlook method (1-3 sentences)

Persistence can be computed in several ways. I have looked out to Sept. for the sake of comparison and as a very basic benchmark.

1) Daily anomaly persistence at 85 days lead time (so that I can go all the way to Sep 30th), then compute mean for Sept = 5.50

2) Persist the absolute anomaly from June to Sept (using NSIDC monthly value, not mean of daily). Sept = 5.49

3) Persist the standard normal deviate from June to Sept (using NSIDC monthly). Labeled as "Variance Persistence". Sept = 4.49

None of these methods have true skill at this long lead time. "True Skill" is measured in the same way as Schroder et al., (2014).



(Note: this plot includes a longer smoothing window than my operational 50-day forecast and the observed data sets are different – I was also lazy and did not)

5. Projection uncertainty/probability estimate (only required if available with the method you are using)

... one of these days I will calculate this. Error = big! (relative to something with real skill)

7. \* "Executive summary" about your Outlook contribution 1-3 sentences, to be used in Outlook summary: say in a few sentences what your Outlook contribution is and why. To the extent possible, use non-technical language.

Three different types of persistence forecasting at 85-day or 3 month lead time. The methods contain effectively no real skill at this timescale (when measured by the same metric as Schroder et al., (2014).