SEA ICE PREDICTION NETWORK (SIPN)

Template for Pan-Arctic Sea Ice Outlook Core Contributions June Report (Using May Data)

*Required

1. *Contributor Name(s)/Group

Andrew Slater

2. *Type of Outlook projectionmodel _?statistical _ ? _heuristic bit of both	
If you use a model, please specify: Model Name SPIE (I guess?) Components of the model: Atmosphere, Ocean, Ice, Land, Coupler_ For non-coupled model: Ice _ X _, Ocean, Forcing	

3. *September monthly average projection (in million square kilometers)

$4.45 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$

4. *Short explanation of Outlook method (1-3 sentences)

The result supplied at the start of June is a *quasi-model* estimate – that is, I use information from my system, but it is not strictly a model result and not directly reproducible or verifiable. I have been running my statistical system operationally at 50-day lead time. See the page:

http://cires.colorado.edu/~aslater/SEAICE/

which now includes a time series plot (updated daily) and a spatial map. Performance to date in the 2014 melt season has been mixed.

I have run my system at 60, 70, 80 and 90 day lead times for prior years, but am not using such data at this point in time. I do have skill (following the metric of Schroder et al., 2014) at 90-days. I will probably do a straight model result for the July value and certainly for August.

The value of $4.45 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ is based on extrapolation of my current forecast; basically it suggests that 2014 will rank around 3^{rd} lowest in the observational record (unless there is some odd weather event/s). The 4.45 value is simply a reflection of the estimated rank estimated for July 25^{th} .

5. Projection uncertainty/probability estimate (only required if available with the method you are using)

Have not computed such a value for this sort of lead time/method.

- 6. Short explanation/assessment of basis for the uncertainty estimate in #5 (1-2 sentences)
- 7. * "Executive summary" about your Outlook contribution
 1-3 sentences, to be used in Outlook summary: say in a few sentences what your
 Outlook contribution is and why. To the extent possible, use non-technical
 language.

My 50-day forecast (http://cires.colorado.edu/~aslater/SEAICE/) issued on June 6th suggests that 2014 will be near the 3rd lowest rank year on record, which is how I came to derive my estimated extent for this long-lead time. The result contains huge uncertainty and should not be considered reliable as this long-lead method has not been verified.