Scientific research in recent decades has dramatically increased our awareness of environmental changes in the polar regions and their potentially important effects on global climate. This research and the concerns of indigenous peoples of the high North and residents in the mid-latitudes of Europe, Russia, Canada, and the U.S. have led to increased national and international support for polar sciences. In the U.S., the National Science Foundation (NSF), Departments of Defense, Energy, and Commerce, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) have increased support for arctic research.

One program within NSF, the Arctic System Science (ARCSS) Program, seeks to improve our understanding of the arctic system as a whole. Other countries, including Russia and Canada, also are vigorously developing plans for focused studies of arctic change and biodiversity.

One of the Arctic Forum’s keynote speakers, Robert Corell, spoke on “Research, Assessment, and the Importance of Interdisciplinary Arctic Science.” He noted that the Earth is an interactive, interconnected system, and that human activities are a significant element of that system and must be integrated into the study of its feedbacks and nonlinearities. Tying his theme to the theme of the Arctic Forum, he included humans as part of the biology of the Arctic. The challenge, he said, is to develop new knowledge that understands all interactions and feedbacks and that includes all of the sciences: something he calls sustainability science.

The other keynote speaker, Charles Johnson of the Alaska Nanuuq Commission, spoke about the impact of changes in the Arctic’s climate and weather on Native people who are dependent on subsistence foods. He gave fascinating personal details about the impact that less ice in the spring has had on his own experience hunting walrus.

This volume of abstracts from the Arctic Forum demonstrates the diversity of current research to develop a better understanding of the Arctic as a system and to describe its interactions with the global system. Individual and collaborative efforts presented at the Arctic Forum represent the cutting edge of national and international efforts to unravel the driving forces and direction of environmental changes in the arctic system and their interactions with people and the global system.
Research, Assessment, and the Importance of Interdisciplinary Arctic Science

Robert Corell, American Meteorological Society

The Arctic Forum is about dialogue, ideas, and interaction between various disciplines, so it is appropriate to discuss together the interactions between the physical and biological systems on planet Earth. It is vital to consider humans a fundamental part of the biological systems of the planet—in short, human interactions are integral to our discussion of the theme of the Arctic Forum, “Interactions Between Physical and Biological Systems.”

Two issues are central to our discussions:
1. The Earth is an interactive, interconnected system, and
2. Human activities are a fundamental and significant element of that system and must be integrated into our understanding of it.

The Earth system is full of feedbacks, nonlinearities, and uncertainties. These are challenges to science to seek new insights and knowledge to understand these interactions. There has evolved in the science community the concept of science and technology for sustainability, a framework offers the potential of a pathway to think about what we do. It is putting science in a (i) broader environmental context, (ii) seeks to address a wider time frame, (iii) focuses on place-based and finer scale in a global context, (iv) seeks to understand the potential of abrupt changes and surprises, and (v) addresses the connections between nature and societal systems.

This framework for a new and evolving pattern in science and its role in society, seeks to place scientific understanding in the societal relevance and policy context.

Following a discussion of these broader issues, we will explore the current status of the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA): how it works and what it means. ACIA is an assessment of the consequences of climate variability and change and the effects of increased ultraviolet light in the Arctic. The ACIA brings together several hundred leading scientists from around the world to address these issues. It will produce three documents: (1) a comprehensive scientific and technical analysis of the changes and their potential consequences, (2) a synthesis of understanding and insights written for a broad audience, and (3) a series of recommendations to the circumpolar nations and the indigenous people of the north.
The scientific community has shown that decreases in ice thickness and cover, changes in precipitation, weather patterns, ocean currents, etc., prove that global warming is a very real thing. Indigenous peoples also have reported changes in the environment and changes in the behavior and migration patterns of animals. They have reported absence of species and new species coming in. These changes have had, and will have, a greater impact on the subsistence activities that are the backbone of the culture of indigenous peoples in the Arctic.
Arctic regions contain large amounts of stored soil carbon and comprise huge areas of discontinuous vegetation. The potential feedback effects on possible changing climatic conditions through altered source/sink action for atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ in tundra regions are therefore important issues with global implications. Through a series of field studies we have studied environmental controls on CO₂ and CH₄ evolution rates in arctic soils mostly on the basis of (1) observations along an Eurasian transect of tundra sites and (2) comparative experiments in northern Sweden, Finland, Iceland, central Siberia, and Northeast Greenland. Emphasis will be on studies carried out at the latter mentioned site. Here, through a combination of different flux measurement techniques, remote sensing, and modeling, we have been able to describe the CO₂ and CH₄ exchange budgets for a composite high arctic tundra ecosystem. Comparisons will be made between the findings in northeast Greenland and Eurasia with results from work in northern Alaska.
Analyses by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) project that the buildup of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere is likely to lead to increases in mean annual temperature of between 1.0 and 3.0°C by 2100 with increases greater in high latitudes than in middle or low latitudes. There is evidence that warming is occurring in some high-latitude areas. Trends locally exceed 0.5° per decade, which are much larger than for the Northern Hemisphere as a whole, and are most pronounced in northwest North America. There is evidence that the warming in high-latitude regions of North America may be affecting ecosystem function and structure of both tundra and boreal forest. These changes in high latitude terrestrial ecosystems have important consequences for the global climate system as well as for climate in arctic regions by influencing (a) water and energy exchange with the atmosphere, (b) the exchange of radiatively active gases with the atmosphere, and (c) the delivery of freshwater to the Arctic Ocean. Since the responses of high-latitude ecosystems to global change involve complex interactions among environmental variables that influence permafrost dynamics, vegetation dynamics, and disturbance regimes, there is a need for coordinated global change studies among high-latitude regions. These studies should focus on improving understanding on how these responses influence climate dynamics, ecosystem dynamics, and large-scale hydrology throughout high-latitude regions. It is important that scientific understanding from such studies be synthesized into integrated modeling efforts focused on representing the role of high-latitude terrestrial ecosystems in the response of the climate system to global change. Integrated modeling efforts should focus on closing water, energy, and carbon budgets in retrospective studies at large scales in high-latitude regions to help elucidate critical gaps in our understanding. Effective iteration between field-oriented and model-oriented research is important for articulating the next steps that need to be taken in representing the role of high-latitude terrestrial ecosystems in the climate system.
The ARCUS Award for Arctic Research Excellence

Mark C. Serreze, University of Colorado; Session Chair

I am pleased to be able to introduce the winners of the ARCUS Award for Arctic Research Excellence. The award program is an initiative of the ARCUS membership, and ARCUS runs the program entirely with membership dues.

This is the fifth year of the award, and each year it has grown in participation. Students from all over the world submitted entries. This year there were 58 papers, double the number from last year, and that number was double from the year before. This year’s award winners are the top 7 percent of the submissions. They are high quality papers, and we are proud to bring the authors to Washington to present them.

There are winners in four categories: Social Sciences, Life Sciences, Physical Sciences, and Interdisciplinary. The winner in the interdisciplinary category is “Reduced Growth in Alaskan White Spruce in the 20th Century from Temperature-induced Drought Stress,” by Valerie A. Barber. The social sciences winner is “Contributions of Traditional Knowledge to Understanding Climate Change in the Canadian Arctic,” by Dyanna Jolly [Riedlinger]. The life sciences category was won by Tim Karels with his paper “Concurrent Density Dependence and Independence in Populations of Arctic Ground Squirrels.” And finally, in the physical sciences category, the winner was Luke Copland with “Mapping Thermal and Hydrological Conditions Beneath a Polythermal Glacier with Radio-echo Sounding.”
Reduced Growth in Alaskan White Spruce in the 20th Century from Temperature-induced Drought Stress

Valerie A. Barber, University of Alaska Fairbanks; Glenn P. Juday; Bruce P. Finney

The extension of growing season at high northern latitudes seems increasingly clear from satellite observations of vegetation extent and duration.\(^1,2\) This extension is also thought to explain the observed increase in amplitude of seasonal variations in atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration. Increased plant respiration and photosynthesis both correlate well with increases in temperature this century and are therefore the most probable link between vegetation and CO\(_2\) observations.\(^3\) From these observations,\(^1,2\) it has been suggested that increases in temperature have stimulated carbon uptake in high latitudes\(^1,2\) and for the boreal forest system as a whole.\(^4\) Here we present multiproxy tree-ring data (ring width, maximum latewood density, and carbon-isotope composition) from 20 productive stands of white spruce in interior Alaska. The tree-ring records show a strong and consistent relationship over the past 90 years and indicate that, in contrast with earlier predictions, radial growth has decreased with increasing temperature. Our data show that temperature-induced drought stress has disproportionately affected the most rapidly growing white spruce, suggesting that under recent climate warming, drought may have been an important factor limiting carbon uptake in a significant portion of the North American boreal forest. If this limitation in growth due to drought stress is sustained, the future capacity of northern latitudes to sequester carbon may be less than currently expected.
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Contributions of Traditional Knowledge to Understanding Climate Change in the Canadian Arctic

Dyanna Jolly (Riedlinger), University of Manitoba; Fikret Berkes

Despite much scientific research, a considerable amount of uncertainty exists concerning the rate and the extent of climate change in the Arctic and how change will affect regional climatic processes and northern ecosystems. Can an expanded scope of knowledge and inquiry augment understandings of climate change in the North? The extensive use of the land and the coastal ocean in Inuit communities provides a unique source of local environmental expertise that is guided by generations of experience. Environmental change associated with variations in weather and climate has not gone unnoticed by communities that are experiencing change firsthand. Little research has been done to explore the contributions of traditional knowledge to climate change research. Based in part on a collaborative research project in Sachs Harbour, western Canadian Arctic, we discuss five areas in which traditional knowledge may complement scientific approaches to understanding climate change in the Canadian Arctic. These are the use of traditional knowledge (i) as local scale expertise, (ii) as a source of climate history and baseline data, (iii) in formulating research questions and hypotheses, (iv) as insight into impacts and adaptation in arctic communities, and (v) for long-term, community-based monitoring. These five areas of potential convergence provide a conceptual framework for bridging the gap between traditional knowledge and Western science, in the context of climate change research.
CONCURRENT DENSITY DEPENDENCE AND INDEPENDENCE IN POPULATIONS OF ARCTIC GROUND SQUIRRELS

Tim J. Karels, University of Toronto; Rudy Boonstra

No population increases without limit. The processes that prevent this can operate in either a density-dependent way (acting with increasing severity to increase mortality rates or decrease reproductive rates as density increases), a density-independent way, or in both ways simultaneously. However, ecologists disagree for two main reasons about the relative roles and influences that density-dependent and density-independent processes have in determining population size. First, empirical studies showing both processes operating simultaneously are rare. Second, time series analyses of long-term census data sometimes overestimate dependence. By using a density-perturbation experiment on arctic ground squirrels, we show concurrent density-dependent and density-independent declines in weaning rates, followed by density-dependent declines in overwinter survival during hibernation. These two processes result in strong, density-dependent convergence of experimentally increased populations to those of control populations that had been at low, stable levels.
Spaceyly contiguous patterns in residual bed reflection power (BRPr) are used to map the thermal and hydrological conditions at the base of a high arctic polythermal glacier. Residual bed reflection power represents the difference between measured and predicted bed reflection powers, once the influence of dielectric loss with ice depth has been accounted for. Areas with crevassing and other englacial features were removed from analysis since large internal reflections may reduce the power that reaches the glacier bed. Most surveys were made in the spring, while the snowpack was dry, to minimize the influence of variable coupling between the antenna and glacier surface. Correlation plots show that bed slope does not have a significant effect on BRPr.

Based on our findings, several conclusions can be made about the thermal structure of the glacier. Positive BRPr and the presence of an internal reflecting horizon over the glacier terminus suggest a warm basal layer in this region. In comparison, positive BRPr and the absence of an internal reflector in overdeepened and valley bottom areas in the upper ablation zone suggest that the pressure melting point is only reached at the glacier bed. Finally, negative BRPr and the absence of an internal reflector in all other regions are indicative of cold ice. Within the positive BRPr regions, variability in BRPr shows patterns similar to subglacial hydrological reconstructions and observations. Maximum BRPr values occur in areas where drainage is predicted, and an elongated area of high BRPr occurs directly upglacier from an artesian fountain which brought large volumes of turbid meltwater to the glacier surface. These observations imply that water at the glacier bed is a major control on BRPr. This is probably because water has a higher dielectric contrast with ice than any other subglacial material.
The SCICEX Database Project (SDP) — Developing an Interactive Arctic Environmental GIS

Paul A. Bienhoff, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory; Jeffrey H. Smart; Wayne Loschen

The data collected during the SCICEX cruises from 1993–1999 is not readily accessible to other scientists. The SCICEX Database Project (SDP) will correct this limitation, allowing future arctic investigators to use the baseline data from the SCICEX investigations to focus and improve their investigations. The SDP will be an integrated database for viewing and analysis of environmental data collected during the SCICEX program. Although a good portion of the SCICEX data is available in digital form, much of it is not yet archived in the NSF-sponsored central data warehouse (ARCSS-DCC).

The Submarine Operational and Research Environmental Database (SOARED): Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (JHUAPL) developed a database and display system to address a Navy requirement to make data collected by a submarine accessible to the crew of that submarine. In the course of the design of the Navy system (the FAST TACTical Integration Console, FAST TACTIC), a demonstration web site was assembled. This demonstration web site (http://wood.jhuapl.edu/soared/) is called the Submarine Operational and Research Environmental Database (SOARED) and uses data collected on SCICEX cruises plus data from other publicly accessible databases. The idea was to use data similar, if not identical, to the data collected by the SCICEX submarines and show how that data could be retrieved and displayed using a simple graphical user interface (GUI). In addition, SOARED has some basic analytical features that allow data to be compared and evaluated statistically. Data are retrieved using queries generated with either “point and click” or “drag and drop” actions using a computer mouse.

The SCICEX Database Project (SDP): SDP is intended to be a node linked to ARCSS-DCC, archiving all SCICEX data collected to date as well as data collected on future submarine arctic cruises. Subsequent to the development of the SOARED demonstration web site, several of the scientists who have been involved in SCICEX suggested the fixed database could be useful for other scientists who could compare SCICEX data to data collected for their own work. This project addresses that suggestion. The presentation for the Arctic Forum will describe the project and include a demonstration of the existing relational database, displays, and basic retrieval and analysis tools. A desired outcome of the presentation will be support for the concept, along with suggestions for other features that will enhance the scientific value of the SCICEX information.
GIS is not just about technology anymore. In the past, GIS has been commonly described as a computerized system for the compilation, access, retrieval, analysis, and display of geographic and geographic-related data. Modern GIS is much more than computerized mapping—it now provides the basis for a societal information infrastructure for bringing what we know about the planet together geographically to support integrated and multisector decision-making, exploration, and research at many levels. GIS has grown from a relatively obscure and esoteric field just two decades ago to a globally recognized and fundamental part of our modern world. The Internet and advances in computing, data gathering instrumentation, knowledge management, data mining, and modeling and spatial analysis techniques and tools are opening new horizons for scientists, resource managers, and policy makers. This presentation will provide a brief history of the field, the current state of the art, and some glimpses of possible futures.
I am going to talk about issues of bridging science and policy. What policy issues drive science, who is the audience for scientific assessments, and how do we fit these together?

The U.S. national climate assessment was the result of Congress's directive to the federal agencies in 1990 to assess the possible consequences of climate change and variability. Workshops were held all over the country, which led to reports, studies, regional summaries, and to two national-level reports: one targeted to a policy audience (the overview) and one to a more scientific audience (the foundation report).

What lessons did we learn from this effort to explain what we think we know to a policy-making audience? For example, we reported potential changes in global average temperature in degrees Fahrenheit, not Celsius, for those more used to dealing with Fahrenheit. We used specific examples of what might change to make the model scenarios meaningful.

When showing the results of climate models, we have to be careful to explain that this is the result of one run of one model, not a prediction of the future. It is, however, a plausible outcome. Policy people need to understand that science is credible, but at the same time we still don't know everything yet.

Research challenges for the future include ecosystem response to multiple stresses, the degree to which CO₂ fertilization operates, and how much the impacts depend on a particular CO₂ content, so that we can evaluate the costs and effectiveness of adaptation. We need to pay attention to the interaction of domestic and international effects of climate change and to the links to other issues, especially the loss of biological diversity.

So how do we take this exploratory assessment effort and insert it into the broader policy discussions? There is no magic bullet. There are willing audiences that rely on the scientific community for information, but also to tell them when we don't know something. We have to be willing to raise uncomfortable questions. It is incumbent on us to help these audiences learn about the decisions facing them.
Scenarios of climate change in the Arctic include changes in precipitation amounts—usually precipitation increases as the air warms. But these increases do not necessarily lead to wetter soils or more river runoff because evapotranspiration from land and plants will also increase with arctic warming. Also, the effect of warming on hydrology will depend on the site in question. For example, the Tanana Flats in Alaska are slightly marshy now but with melting of the permafrost the land surface will subside and the flats could be inundated throughout the year.

Changes in freshwater amount and fluxes will affect arctic organisms in many ways. Microbial activity in soils may decrease if soils become wetter and anaerobic conditions become more frequent. This would lead to increased carbon storage in soils. On the other hand, a decrease in soil moisture may lead to increased decomposition rates and long-term decreases in carbon storage in arctic soils. Plants, at the same time, will increase in mass from the nitrogen released by the increased decomposition of soil organic matter under drier conditions. At a different scale, fish in streams will be strongly affected by the amount of stream flow; in the Kuparuk River, for example, arctic grayling grow faster in summers with high discharge rates than in low-discharge summers. The key link between the change in hydrology and biologic response in this case may be the amount of insect drift in the stream, the principal source of food for the grayling. Finally, at even bigger scales, a pulse in freshwater discharge into the Arctic Ocean is thought to have contributed to the formation of the Great Salinity Anomaly. The arrival of this cold, low-salinity arctic surface water off Iceland in the 1970s and 1980s resulted in a drastic reduction in phytoplanktonic production and eventually in lower survival of fish larvae. After some years, a reduction in the annual fishery catch of herring was seen, a fish vital to the Iceland economy.
The Bering Sea appears to be undergoing great environmental change at the current time. The recent appearance of coccolithophorid blooms and associated food web changes may have had impacts upon bird and marine mammal populations (Hunt et al. 1999, “The Bering Sea in 1998: The second consecutive year of extreme weather-forced anomalies,” EOS, Transactions American Geophysical Union 80: 561–566). More recently, the winter of 2000–2001 saw an almost-unheard-of absence of sea ice, which did not form anywhere south of Bering Strait until March 2001. It is not clear that these changes are due to climate warming, since water temperatures remain close to freezing. Sea ice coverage in the winter of 2001 may have been simply a function of more persistent southerly winds. However, prevailing winds from the north are typically more characteristic for the winter period, so changing atmospheric regimes may also be a factor. Moreover, ecological changes are clearly happening and these may be driven by hydrographic variation in currents and sedimentation over the shallow continental shelves of the Bering and Chukchi Seas. We have been documenting decadal scale declines in sediment respiration rates, benthic biomass, and changes in biological species composition on the continental shelf to the southwest of St. Lawrence Island. This region, in addition to two sediment “hot-spots” north and south of Bering Strait, have had the highest benthic biomass of almost any polar region, but within the past decade, biomass and other benthic productivity indicators appear to have been in decline at two, if not all three, of these locations.

The consequences of these changes on benthic sediment communities of the Bering Sea are likely to be great and affect higher trophic level consumers. Marine mammals such as walrus, gray whales, and bearded seals that feed on animals living in the sediments are dependent upon these declining benthic populations. The possible disappearance of sea ice will also have consequences for the distribution of animals such as walrus that local residents of the Bering Sea region are dependent upon for a subsistence food resource. Another example of a vulnerable species is the entire world population of a federally listed, threatened diving duck, the spectacled eider, which feeds on bottom-dwelling clams in the waters south of St. Lawrence Island in March and April each year, congregating in spectacular flocks. During my presentation, I will show video clips of substantial fractions of the world population gathered in winter leads from which they dive to 60 meters depth to feed on clam populations in bottom
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sediments that are changing in species composition and declining in mass. This National Science Foundation funded work is helping to assess whether declining winter food supplies are a factor in the precipitous declines of this duck in its native range in both Russia and Alaska.
Conditions in the Arctic in the 1990s were substantially different than previous decades. Six of nine recent years (1990–1998) had major cold temperature anomalies in March in the stratosphere. The remaining three years had weaker cold anomalies. These cold anomalies are part of the Arctic Oscillation (AO), and models suggest that the persistence of the anomalies is driven by CO₂ increases and ozone chemistry at cold temperatures in spring. Cold stratospheric anomalies are associated with warm tropospheric anomalies. Because the location of the stratospheric vortex varies from year to year, anomalous surface winds and warm temperatures occur over Alaska and Northwest Canada in years when the vortex was shifted to the western Arctic; i.e., in 1990, 1993, 1995, and 1997. Both the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the AO are influenced by increases in zonal winds from the polar vortex associated with the cold stratosphere; however, the NAO has winter variability reinforced by longitudinal sea-surface temperature gradients, while the arctic proper has a hemispheric component driven by the stratospheric change in late winter. Although the stratospheric/tropospheric connection decouples in May, earlier snow and ice melt in late spring in the western Arctic may precondition summer and fall conditions through albedo and cloud/radiative feedbacks.
For most of the time that humans have inhabited arctic regions, they have depended on locally available resources and the impacts of their activities have been similarly local. Only in the past few centuries or less have more distant forces—resource exploitation, large-scale immigration, pollution—begun to act on the Arctic and its inhabitants. For the most part, consideration of the role of humans in the Arctic environment has focused on the mechanistic connections between human activities and environmental conditions and vice versa. By the late 20th century, however, the simple connections and feedbacks between arctic inhabitants and their surroundings had been altered by modernization. Some aspects are relatively straightforward, such as the way that imported foods have reduced reliance on local wildlife populations. Other aspects are more complex, such as the degree to which indigenous groups have acquired the political strength to affect policies that shape today’s Arctic. The role in the Arctic of “humans as political animals” is an intriguing challenge for 21st century research.
The Arctic Forum is an opportunity for arctic researchers to exchange information at a diverse and interdisciplinary scientific meeting. The call for poster submissions is open to all arctic research and education topics, hence the Arctic Forum boasts a broad assemblage of information about the Arctic. Research topics addressed include space physics, oceanography, hydrology, environmental contaminants, climate change, past climate reconstruction, and modeling. In addition, posters provided information about novel techniques for collecting data, agency research initiatives, relevant research and education programs, and science and data management organizations. Abstracts are arranged in alphabetical order by the last name of the first author. An author index starts on page 73.

Increasingly, the arctic system is being investigated through collaborative partnerships, improving our understanding of the arctic system within and between disciplines. Colleagues rely on one another to provide expertise, tools, and experience to build upon previous work and ideas. Interdisciplinary forums such as the Arctic Forum are fertile ground for the germination of these partnerships. ARCUS looks forward to continuing to sponsor the Arctic Forum as a venue for arctic researchers to share information across disciplines, develop collaborative partnerships, and interact with representatives from agencies, organizations, and institutes conducting research in the Arctic.
Benthic production and standing stock have been found in past studies to be variable within the Canadian Archipelago and considered generally lower than in the Bering and Chukchi Seas. During the 2000 U.S.-Canada biodiversity collaboration functioning as part of the St. Roch II “Voyage of Rediscovery” to the Northwest Passage, several “hot spots” of standing stock were encountered, with biomass values rivaling sampled Bering and Chukchi Sea sites, with an extreme value of 81.95 gC/m² at Hat Island off Requisite Channel in Queen Maude Gulf. Sampling within the archipelago also demonstrated the other end of the biomass spectrum, finding a biomass value of 0.024 gC/m² at Clifton Point off of Victoria Island, near Dolphin and Union Strait in Amundsen Gulf. Average biomass appears to be lowest in the Gulf of Alaska, reaching the highest measured average values in the Bering and Chukchi seas, and decreasing in the Beaufort Sea and into the Canadian Archipelago where the most extreme values of the cruise were found.

These results are preliminary due to continuing analysis of station samples, with numbers presented ranging from one to the four total replicates per station completed. Single grabs are presented for a preliminary spatial description. This spatial description indicates a possible trend of bivalve dominance in more southerly latitudes, Yoldia sp. dominant in the Gulf of Alaska, Nuculana radiata and Nucula belloti dominant in the Bering and Chukchi seas. The amphipod Ampelisca sp. and bivalve Macoma calcarea were dominant in the Bering Strait region. The polychaete Sternaspidae and amphipod Byblis sp. were dominant in the Beaufort Sea samples and entering the Canadian Archipelago. At Hat Island, bivalves were again dominant, consisting of Astartidae and Hiatellidae. The most northeasterly station (near Spence Bay, Nunavut) was largely dominated by sponge Porifera.
Reduced Growth in Alaskan White Spruce in the 20th Century from Temperature-induced Drought Stress

Valerie A. Barber, University of Alaska Fairbanks; Glenn P. Juday; Bruce P. Finney

The extension of growing season at high northern latitudes seems increasingly clear from satellite observations of vegetation extent and duration. This extension is also thought to explain the observed increase in amplitude of seasonal variations in atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Increased plant respiration and photosynthesis both correlate well with increases in temperature this century and are therefore the most probable link between vegetation and CO₂ observations. From these observations, it has been suggested that increases in temperature have stimulated carbon uptake in high latitudes and for the boreal forest system as a whole. Here we present multiproxy tree-ring data (ring width, maximum latewood density, and carbon-isotope composition) from 20 productive stands of white spruce in interior Alaska. The tree-ring records show a strong and consistent relationship over the past 90 years and indicate that, in contrast with earlier predictions, radial growth has decreased with increasing temperature. Our data show that temperature-induced drought stress has disproportionately affected the most rapidly growing white spruce, suggesting that under recent climate warming, drought may have been an important factor limiting carbon uptake in a significant portion of the North American boreal forest. If this limitation in growth due to drought stress is sustained, the future capacity of northern latitudes to sequester carbon may be less than currently expected.
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The data collected during the SCICEX cruises from 1993–1999 is not readily accessible to other scientists. The SCICEX Database Project (SDP) will correct this limitation, allowing future arctic investigators to use the baseline data from the SCICEX investigations to focus and improve their investigations. The SDP will be an integrated database for viewing and analysis of environmental data collected during the SCICEX program. Although a good portion of the SCICEX data is available in digital form, much of it is not yet archived in the NSF-sponsored central data warehouse (ARCSS-DCC).

The Submarine Operational and Research Environmental Database (SOARED): Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (JHUAPL) developed a database and display system to address a Navy requirement to make data collected by a submarine accessible to the crew of that submarine. In the course of the design of the Navy system (the FAST TACTical Integration Console, or FAST TACTIC), a demonstration web site was assembled. This demonstration web site (http://wood.jhuapl.edu/soared/) is called the Submarine Operational and Research Environmental Database (SOARED) and uses data collected on SCICEX cruises plus data from other publicly accessible databases. The idea was to use data similar, if not identical, to the data collected by the SCICEX submarines and show how that data could be retrieved and displayed using a simple graphical user interface (GUI). In addition, SOARED has some basic analytical features that allow data to be compared and evaluated statistically. Data are retrieved using queries generated with either “point and click” or “drag and drop” actions using a computer mouse.

The SCICEX Database Project (SDP): SDP is intended to be a node linked to ARCSS-DCC, archiving all SCICEX data collected to date as well as data collected on future submarine arctic cruises. Subsequent to the development of the SOARED demonstration web site, several of the scientists who have been involved in SCICEX suggested the fixed database could be useful for other scientists who could compare SCICEX data to data collected for their own work. This project addresses that suggestion. The presentation for the Arctic Forum will describe the project and include a demonstration of the existing relational database, displays, and basic retrieval and analysis tools. A desired outcome of the presentation will be support for the concept, along with suggestions for other features that will enhance the scientific value of the SCICEX information.
Evolution of the Cold Haloctline Layer in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean

Timothy Boyd, Oregon State University; Michael Steele; Robin Muench; John Gunn

Data from icebreaker and SCICEX submarine cruises of the 1990s document the retreat and subsequent recovery of the cold halocline layer (CHL) in the Eurasian Basin (EB) of the Arctic Ocean. The freshwater content from the ocean surface to the base of the halocline was determined for near-repeat transects extending from the Alpha Ridge to the Arctic Mid-Ocean Ridge. Comparison of summer and winter data is feasible because the existence of a residual signature of the winter mixed layer in summer profiles allows the upper ocean to be split into separate freshwater pools: seasonal ice melt, winter mixed layer, and winter halocline. Significant interannual variations were observed in the freshwater content of the winter halocline. The freshwater content in the winter halocline decreased in the EB during the period 1991–1995 (the CHL retreat), and increased in the Amundsen and Makarov Basins during 1995–1999 (the CHL recovery). Over the period 1991–1999, the freshwater content in the WH increased in the northern Amundsen Basin. The disappearance of the CHL from the EB in 1995 has been attributed to an eastward shift (toward Bering Strait) of the injection point into the central basin of fresh water from the Russian shelves. IABP ice velocities and sea level pressure fields suggest that the reappearance of the CHL in 1999 was due to a westward shift in the injection point.
In 1998 we recovered what is now the longest lacustrine paleoclimate record in the Arctic at 400 ka from El’gygytgyn Lake, in Northeast Siberia. Because this lake lies inside a nonglaciated impact crater created 3.6 million years ago, we have the potential to recover a straightforward climate record representative of the western Arctic dating back to the middle Pliocene. Core analyses thus far have shown, for example, that boreal treeline migrated north and then south of the crater during the last interglacial, demonstrating a sensitivity to land/climate interactions. Field studies this year provided data important to the interpretation of the 1998 core and an understanding of the modern processes, including modern limnology, geomorphology, coring, stream and lake.
hydrology, local meteorology, and a two-fold seismic program including airgun and 3.5 kHz high-resolution profiles.

Initial field results from the seismic data indicate that the total sediment fill in the basin is more than 370 m draped over a small central impact cone in this crater nearly 18 km in diameter. These data also indicate the best sites for a deep drilling program. Fragments of paleoshorelines at elevations roughly 45 m, 18 m, 8 to 12 m, and 6 m, especially around the east and south shores, indicate that lake level has been higher in the past; the highest levels probably occupied early in the lake history but difficult to date. Alluvial fans with slopes of 3 to 4 degrees around more than half of the lake margin consist primarily of alluvium and soliflucted colluvium at the current surface; emergent lacustrine shelf sediments occur in only a few sections. Nearly half of the lake basin lacks any wide shelf, including large areas fronting larger alluvial fan complexes. Modern beaches around the lake are coarse with high storm berms related to waves and ice shoving created by the long fetch and strong regional winds. Detailed studies of the sedimentology, and modern and down core studies of the pollen, diatoms, and geochemistry are about to be published.

El’gygytgyn Lake is ice covered roughly nine months of the year, becoming ice-free usually by early-mid July. Once ice-free, our measurements show the lake mixes completely by wind stress, maintaining a temperature of 2 to 3 degrees C; there is no significant thermocline. Lake temperature, lake level, and meteorology equipment have been installed at the lake to provide us with several years of in situ data. Initial meteorology data suggest that the local climate of El’gygytgyn is representative of regional synoptic climatology, indicating that the paleoclimate record from this lake is a proxy of broad-scale western arctic environmental change. Analysis of the 1998 core confirms this by showing teleconnections between various paleoclimate proxies with the GISP core, which contains a time-series less than half as long as the El’gygytgyn core.

Sedimentology and clay mineralogy of the upper 6 meters of the core, representing the entire late Pleistocene, show distinct changes in illite-smectite and chlorite percentages consistent with cold vs. warmer intervals in the core. The data show that clay mineralogy and sedimentology, in addition to magnetic susceptibility (MS), TOC, pollen, and diatoms provide an important means for interpreting past change. The sedimentology also supports our notion that MS is a direct proxy for climate, reflecting changes in the lake ice cover and oxygenation of the bottom waters as dictated by paleotemperature.
Erosion rates of coastlines dominated by ice-rich permafrost are among the highest on Earth. Although erosion is limited to three to four months of ice-free water, rates may exceed 10 m/yr. Erosion and accretion of northern coasts are the focus of the new international project Arctic Coastal Dynamics (ACD) http://wwwawi-potsdam.de/www-pot/geo/acd.html. Major focus is on the contribution of coastal erosion to the sediment budget of the inner continental shelf, with emphasis on sources and fate of organic carbon.

The U.S. Beaufort Sea coast provides important sites for evaluating long-term coastal changes and the potential contributions of coastal sediments to cross-shelf transport. Observational sites are proposed at representative coastal segments, including the six North Slope Borough villages, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and Prudhoe Bay. The Elson Lagoon coast near Barrow, Alaska, provides an excellent location for long-term monitoring of rates of erosion and sediment yields and their fate. The study is part of the 7,466-acre Barrow Environmental Observatory (BEO) of arctic tundra that was permanently set aside for research in 1992 by the Ukpeagvik Inupiat Corporation (UIC). Bluff elevations in the study area range between 2 and 4 m and are dominated by polygonal ground consisting of ice-rich, fine-grained sediments, reworked peats, and ice wedges.

Using 1948–1949 and 1962–1964 aerial photography, Lewellen measured erosion rates from Brant Point eastward approximately 30 km to Dease Inlet. We updated the time series of coastline changes using sequential aerial and satellite imagery from 1949, 1962–1964, 1979, 1997, and 2000 for a 10-km long section of the same coastline. Aerial photographs were rectified to a high-resolution (1 m) IKONOS satellite image base map. Rectification accuracy (relative to the 2000 satellite image) ranged from 0.69 to 2.56 m among periods. When compared to erosion rates measured over a long period, the effect of measurement error is relatively small (e.g., up to 0.1 m/yr for a 20-yr period). Coastlines were digitized on these images and used to determine the area of land lost for each period.

Photogrammetric analysis reveals high spatial variation in rates of coastal erosion. In a broad-scale comparison of four contiguous sections (2.0–3.4 km long) along the coast, erosion rates ranged from 0.7 m/yr to 3.0 m/yr for the period 1979 to 2000, with an overall erosion rate of 1.3 m/yr (total loss of 16–58 meters in the 21 years). The several sections with
low rates are more protected by a shallow offshore shoal. The section with greatest erosion has a larger fetch and water depths up to 3 meters or more, accounting for the increase in rates. Loss of land due to erosion on a 2.5-km section over the 51-year period was 110 m or 21.3 acres. The storm of August 10–14, 2000, with its 1.5-m surge along the adjacent Chukchi Sea coast resulted in 1.1 m of erosion in the northern section of coast along Elson Lagoon.
Application of Geographical Information System (GIS) Techniques to Assessing Benthic Biological Change in the Bering Sea

Jackie L. Clement, University of Tennessee; Lee W. Cooper; Jacqueline M. Grebmeier

In the northern Bering Sea, there exists a region of historically high benthic biomass and abundance. This region is located on shallow continental shelves southwest of St. Lawrence Island. During winter, a polynya forms just south of the island while surrounding water is covered by more than 90% ice. From the early 1990s to the late 1990s, a decline in bivalve abundance and biomass is apparent. Diving sea ducks, which feed on bivalves, have also undergone a dramatic decline. Primary production, benthic biomass and abundance, bivalve size classes, percent ice cover, ice extent, sediment grain sizing, Beryllium-7, conductivity, temperature, and depth have all been measured in this region over the previous decade.

Using GIS (Geographic Information System) software, I will try to determine which factors are important in causing this decline. Data from multiple cruises will be placed in a GIS for further analysis using two software products, ArcView 3.2 and ArcInfo 8. Using the same projection and basemap will allow for the standardization of various years. Because station data only gives information for one point, interpolation between stations is necessary to produce a continuous view of each parameter.

Many important questions arise in regard to this benthic decline. What caused the decline? Is it a cyclic event? In order to understand biological change in the Bering Sea, one must face the challenge of differentiating between interannual and seasonal processes. Benthic macroinvertebrates are not only affected by multiple processes within the sediments, but are also greatly affected by processes in the overlying water column, such as sedimentation. With the help of GIS technology I plan to uncover patterns and create a model for the northwest Bering Sea benthos.
To investigate the relationships between ice flow dynamics and the characteristics and seasonal evolution of the subglacial drainage system of a polythermal glacier, surface velocities were determined for successive two-day periods in summer 1998 and 1999 at John Evans Glacier, Ellesmere Island. Two distinct high ice-motion events were recorded in 1999, and one in 1998. These events occurred during periods of rapidly increasing meltwater input to the glacier bed: (i) at the start of the summer melt season, and (ii) as air temperatures rapidly rose after a midsummer cold spell (in 1999).

The magnitude of velocity increases during these events was nonuniform, with highest increases above regions of the glacier bed where subglacial drainage is predicted. Early season events were focused close to the glacier snout, where an artesian fountain that occurred in 1998 indicates that basal water pressures reached at least 120% of ice overburden pressure. The midseason event was focused at the top of the terminus region above an area where vertical velocities suggest closure of drainage passages during the preceding cold spell. These observations suggest that the high motion events were due to enhanced basal motion driven by high basal water pressures that are localised along subglacial drainage pathways.
The Arctic System Science Data Coordination Center (ADCC)

Rudy J. Dichtl, University of Colorado; Chris McNeave

The ARCSS Data Coordination Center (ADCC) at the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC), University of Colorado at Boulder, is the permanent data archive for all components of the ARCSS Program. Funded by the National Science Foundation’s Office of Polar Programs, our focus is to archive and provide access to ARCSS-funded data and information. The concept of System Science depends on the accessibility and exchange of data and information within the scientific community. The ADCC strives to be a catalyst to facilitate that accessibility and cooperation.

A major concern of the research community is the availability of reliable data for research. Working with ARCSS investigators, the ARCSS Committee and NSF, the ADCC is continually acquiring data and developing data products appropriate and useful for the research community. Integration of the data and information from ARCSS projects described on this poster is a high priority at the ADCC. We also work with other national and international data centers to provide optimum accessibility to data and information from the ARCSS archive.

The ADCC strives to provide the most contemporary means of data accessibility to the scientific community. We have developed ingest procedures to assist ARCSS researchers in data and information submittal to the long-term archive. The ADCC home page (http://arcss.colorado.edu/) has become an important tool for data accessibility and integration within ARCSS. Data and information are also distributed on other media (CD-ROMs, disks, data catalogs, etc.) when appropriate. The ADCC maintains a complete backup of the ARCSS archive to ensure data and information collected from the program are available on a long-term basis.

Rudy J. Dichtl, National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Campus Box 449, Boulder, CO 80309-0449, Phone: 303/492-5532, Fax: 303/492-2468, dichtl@kryos.colorado.edu

Chris McNeave, National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Campus Box 449, Boulder, CO 80309-0449, Phone: 303/492-1390, mcneave@kryos.colorado.edu
Human remains of an adult male dated to 9,880 +/- 50 BP delta ^13^C -12.1 o/oo (CAMS-32038) (pelvis) and 9,730 +/- 60 BP delta ^13^C -12.5 o/oo (CAMS-29873) (mandible) have been excavated from 49-PET-408 (On-Your-Knees Cave), an archeological and paleontological site on Prince of Wales Island, Southeast Alaska (Dixon et al., 1997, Dixon 1999). AMS ^14^C results indicate these are oldest reliably dated human remains yet recovered in Alaska and Canada. Delta ^13^C values demonstrate a diet based on marine foods and the ^14^C age should be adjusted to c 9,200 BP based on the regional marine carbon reservoir extrapolated from the Queen Charlotte Islands (Fedje et al. 1996). The human remains appear to be contemporary with a cultural occupation dated by three ^14^C AMS dates on charcoal [8,760 +/- 50 BP (CAMS-43991), 9,210 +/- 50 BP (CAMS-43990), and (CAMS-439899), 9,150 +/- 50]. Obsidian, microblades, bifaces, and other tools have been recovered from this stratigraphic unit. An undated underlying stratigraphic unit contains bone fragments, charcoal and lithic flakes; possible evidence of an earlier human occupation. Bone and shell tools from different chambers of the cave are ^14^C AMS dated to 10,300 +/- 50 BP (CAMS-42381), 5,780 +/- 40 (CAMS-42382), and 1,760 +/- 40 BP (CAMS-64540), suggesting several periods of use/occupation of the cave. These data indicate that by c 9,200 BP humans along the northwest coast of North America were coastal navigators with an economy based on maritime subsistence and established trade networks for obsidian. Trace element analysis documents at least two sources for the obsidian, Mount Edziza on the British Columbia mainland and Sumez Island in southeast Alaska. These data suggest earlier human occupation in order to establish this broad regional adaptation by 9,200 BP and strengthen the theory that humans may have first entered the Americas using watercraft along the northwest coast of North America during the late Pleistocene (Fladmark 1979).
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Preliminary Results from Three Lakes from Ellesmere Island, Nunavut: Sawtooth, Tuborg, and Murray

Pierre Francus, University of Massachusetts; Whit Patridge; Mark Abbott; Ray Bradley; Bruce Finney; Doug Hardy; Ted Lewis; Bianca Perren; Joe Stoner

This project intends to utilize the analysis of cores from three annually laminated lakes to provide high-resolution, late-Holocene environmental records and to study the temporal and spatial patterns of environmental change.

South Sawtooth Lake, Ellesmere Island (79°20’ N, 83°51’ W), is an oligotrophic lake located at the southwestern part of Fosheim Peninsula. We have focused our efforts on the 82-meter-deep distal basin, which is almost completely meromictic. The basin is protected from the direct influence of turbidites, and sedimentation is only due to settling. The basin contains annual clastic laminations: coarse and fine silt sediment during the snow melting season, followed by the settling of clays during the ice-covered winter season. We produced a continuously varved 4.53-meter-long sequence combining a short Glew core and a long vibracore. According to the varve count and 210Pb dates, this record spans the last 2,550 years. The sequence has been studied for diatoms, organic content, paleomagnetism, geochemistry, and sedimentary facies. Using an image analysis technique of thin-sections (Francus 1998), we produced multivariate and quantified data for each varve for the upper section of the sequence. The data obtained on each varve of the uppermost section of the cores have been compared with meteorological and climatological data, e.g., temperature, snow melt, wind, and stream discharge. For the last 33 years, snow-melt intensity correlates well with the median grain size measured for each annual lamination. Summer rain events are also recorded as thin non-erosive beds of sand. This model is then used to infer environmental conditions with annual resolution from downcore laminae. We produced a
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reconstruction of the summer rain intensity based on the occurrence of sand layers. We discuss these fluctuations on the entire sequence, their cyclicity and compare them to the paleomagnetic record, other proxies retrieved so far, and other records in the Arctic.

Lake Tuborg, located at $80^\circ58'\ N$, $75^\circ32'\ W$ on central Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, is 12 km long and consists of an 85 m deep proximal basin and a 140 m deep distal basin. Discharge into the northern side of the lake is dominated by snowmelt, whereas the adjacent Agassiz Ice Cap on the southern side of the lake controls the influx of freshwater. Long cores, taken above the chemocline of the proximal basin, have revealed varves that detail 300 years of high-energy hydrological discharge events (Smith 1997). Smith demonstrated that the high-resolution sediment records, formed in the sediment basin that is dominated by glacial meltwater input, correlate with summer melt layers from Agassiz Ice Cap cores (Fisher and Koerner, 1995) and Eureka MSC temperature records. For this project, a series of short cores were retrieved in May of 2000 along a transect that extends from the northern to the southern side of the deep, distal basin. The sediment cores, all taken below the chemocline, contain laminated sediments and are being analyzed using an image analysis technique. Image analysis of the laminated microstructures will be used to distinguish between the varves that formed on the nival side and those on the glacial side of the lake basin. This information will be used to discern the paleoclimatological conditions that formed varves in the deepest part of the basin, where sediment input originates from both sources.

Murray Lake is located on the eastern coast of Ellesmere Island at $81^\circ20'\ N$, $69^\circ30'\ W$. The lake is approximately 5 km$^2$ and 50 m deep and lies 60 m above Archer Fjord. Runoff into the lake is dominated by nival melt from the west, spillover from the Upper Murray Lake to the north, and a combination of nival and glacial melt from the Simmons and Murray Ice Caps to the east. Two short cores were retrieved from the northern basin in June of 2000 in 45 m of water. The short cores contain 1,100 years of sedimentation and contain few disturbances or turbidites.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. 9708071.
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Polar Research—A Window to Earth’s Past, Present, and Future

Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation

Polar Research: Study of the polar regions helps us see Earth in innovative and unexpected ways. Knowledge of the region is vital to understanding responses of Earth’s systems to natural and man-made changes. Polar environments interact with global processes in complex, significant ways. The regions are sensitive monitors of ongoing changes, but also encompass the historical record of similar changes in the past. The National Science Foundation’s Office of Polar Programs supports research in the Arctic and the Antarctic, ranging from single investigator projects to multi-investigator, multi-institutional, and international programs.

Arctic Research
Human Dimensions of Climate Change: Changes in the marine environment and the impacts of overfishing affect coastal communities worldwide. Researchers supported by the OPP’s Arctic Social Sciences and Arctic System Science programs tracked selected communities in Newfoundland, Greenland, and Norway and analyzed the resulting data to describe how the communities are adapting to change. Demographic, economic, and sociological patterns are emerging.

Atmospheric Studies: The arctic tundra historically has been considered a carbon sink. Recent research suggests that increased temperatures and snowfall during the winter and spring may now be causing the release of small amounts of carbon into the atmosphere. Because of this evidence, San Diego State University investigators expanded earlier studies of the processes controlling carbon dioxide emission and uptake from a single watershed to a circumpolar scale.

Ozone Depletion: TOPSE (Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox). Scientists from the Universities of Washington, Virginia, and New Hampshire did airborne weekly studies of an annual springtime rise in lower-atmosphere ozone levels and measured, for the first time, various chemicals that could shed light on ozone production, atmospheric cleansing, and pollution transport in the northern latitudes. The peculiar chemistry of the arctic spring is key to understanding ozone and pollution processes.

Arctic Seafloor Mapping: Using the newly developed SCAMP (Seafloor Characterization and Mapping Pods), investigators from Tulane University, University of Hawaii’s Hawaii Mapping and Research Group, and Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO) mapped previously uncharted areas of the Arctic Ocean Floor. SCAMP, developed at
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LDEO, provided the first high-resolution bathymetric map of the Gakkel Ridge, gravity-anomaly data, narrow-beam bathymetry, and “chirp” sub-bottom profiler data for inclusion in an arctic bathymetric map.

**Marine Ecosystems:** In 1997 and 1998, unusual weather significantly changed the hydrography and water circulation in the eastern Bering Sea. In July 1997, working along the inner front of the southeastern Bering Sea, investigators from the Universities of California (Irvine), Alaska, and New England found a major ecosystem shift in the Barents Sea when a large coccolithophore bloom (*E. huxleyi*) developed for the first time. During the ensuing fall, nearly 10 percent of the region’s 16 million short-tailed shearwaters, which migrate yearly from Australia, died, a likely result of the *E. huxleyi* bloom.

**Support for Arctic Research**
Approximately 600 scientists conduct more than 130 OPP-sponsored projects each year in the Arctic. In most cases, OPP’s Arctic Research Support and Logistics program funds logistical support. About 50 percent of the researchers work in Alaska, with the remainder working in the Arctic Ocean, Canada, Greenland, Russia, and Scandinavia.

**Facilities:** Northwest of Barrow, Alaska, the Barrow Environmental Observatory (BEO), managed by the Barrow Arctic Science Consortium (BASC), is a permanent research site for tundra ecology, permafrost, climate, and arctic environmental studies.

The 25-year-old Toolik Field Station is the only NSF Long-Term Ecological Research site in the Arctic.

Summit Camp, Greenland, near the summit of the Greenland Ice Sheet, operates seasonally and in 1997–1998 operated during the winter for the first time. A skiway is maintained for landings by LC-130 and other aircraft. OPP’s arctic logistics contractor, VECO Polar Resources, supports research at the camp through Thule Air Base or the Kangerlussuaq International Science Support Facility in West Greenland operated by the Danish Polar Center.

**Ships:** Besides two polar-class icebreakers *Polar Sea* and *Polar Star*, which provide limited support for marine science studies, the U.S. Coast Guard operates the 420-foot icebreaker USCG *Healy*.

Through agreement with the U.S. Navy, U.S. scientists have recently used nuclear submarines (in the SCICEX program). The submarines can access any part of the Arctic Ocean permitted by water depth and can spend 40 to 60 days annually collecting data.

Alpha Helix, part of the U.S. academic research fleet, undertakes oceanographic research in high latitudes. Primary support comes through NSF’s Division of Ocean Sciences, with joint support from OPP.

**Air Support:** The 109th Airlift Wing of the New York Air National Guard (ANG) operates ski-equipped C-130 (LC-130) airplanes to support Summit Camp, Greenland, and to transport researchers to field projects throughout Greenland. Chartered helicopters, twin otter airplanes, and other aircraft provide access to remote field sites and are used to extend the geographic range around central research stations and camps. Helicopters are used where travel over land, snow, or ocean is dangerous, slow, or damaging to the environment.
As our ability to measure environmental parameters of interest has improved, telemetry of data from unmanned remote sites and platforms has become of increased interest. Even where the data are not needed in real time, telemetry can solve problems such as limited remote data storage and inability to recover a measuring platform.

The Office of Naval Research (ONR) has funded Omnet, Inc. for a study of a complete data delivery system based on the Iridium low-Earth orbit satellite (LEOS) constellation. The system will include a remote Iridium data terminal (being developed by NAL Research, Inc. under a related ONR SBIR award), a shore-based data download hub and Internet gateway, automatic delivery of data via the Internet, accounting and billing, and a support/help desk. An effective bandwidth of 10 kilobits/sec is expected.

Iridium provides full coverage in the polar regions. The system should be of great interest for those engaged in polar research or environmental monitoring. ONR is funding this development for the ocean research and monitoring community. However, Omnet intends to involve other communities of users to share the facilities costs and keep both the airtime and equipment costs low through volume purchases.

The project is currently in Phase I, feasibility study and preliminary planning. If Phase I is successful and Phase II is funded, we hope to have an operational system by early 2002.

Robert H. Heinmiller, Omnet, Inc.; Susan K. Kubany; Tonya M. Taylor

Robert H. Heinmiller, Omnet, Inc., PO Box 1285, Staunton, VA 24402, Phone: 540/885-5800, Fax: 540/885-0132, r.heinmiller@Omnet.org

Susan K. Kubany, Omnet, Inc., s.kubany@Omnet.org

Tonya M. Taylor, Omnet, Inc., t.taylor.Tonya@Omnet.org
Migration from the Russian North During the Transition Period

Timothy E. Heleniak, The World Bank

A majority of Russia’s crucial raw materials are located in its northern periphery. During the Soviet period, there was a unique set of development practices that existed to exploit the resources of the northern regions. These included financial and other incentives for people to move to and work in the North and the construction of large urban agglomerations in the region. The result was that Russia had a much more densely populated north than other countries with comparable high-latitude regions.

One unintended consequence of Russia’s transition to a market economy has been a massive out-migration from the northern periphery. From the 16 regions defined as north in this study, over 10 percent of the population has migrated out since 1989. At the extreme are several northern regions where over half the population has left during this period. Those leaving tended to be younger and more highly educated: in general, those more able to do so. Many older and less able persons are left in the north without the resources to leave. The major causes of this out-migration have been price liberalization, fiscal decentralization, and a shift in Russia’s approach to the development of its arctic and subarctic regions.

The study examines patterns of migration in the Russian North during the transition period, beginning with a brief history of the settlement of the Russian North. Data are presented showing the composition of the northern population prior to transition, followed by a description of the levels, direction, age-sex composition, educational, and occupational characteristics, and mechanisms of Northern migration trends. The final section attempts to determine the possible future levels of migration from the North that can be expected.
This study aims to evaluate the nature and evolution of the hydrological system of polythermal John Evans Glacier, Ellesmere Island (80° N, 74° W) using natural tracers present in meltwater. Bedrock underlying the glacier consists primarily of carbonates (limestone and dolostone) and evaporites (mainly gypsum/anhydrite). To detect changes in meltwater chemistry that occurred as water passed through the glacier, hydrochemical data were collected from snow, ice marginal lakes, and supraglacial and subglacial streams on John Evans Glacier during the summer of 2000. Electrical conductivity (EC), pH, and total alkalinity were measured in the field, and concentrations of major ions were determined by ion chromatography. Suspended sediment concentrations were also determined. To identify the major sources of variability in water chemistry, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on each of the datasets. Three phases of drainage system development were identified from these analyses. Phase I followed the seasonal onset of subglacial drainage, and was characterised by high, but steadily decreasing, concentrations of all major ions, and by trace amounts of Li⁺. Comparison of supraglacial and subglacial values indicates that most solute in waters draining from the glacier was derived by subglacial weathering. The chemistry of these waters suggests they have been stored beneath the glacier over winter and illustrates progressive dilution by the influx of the new season’s melt. During Phase II, solute concentrations stabilised at lower levels, and total alkalinity levels were similar in subglacial waters and waters draining into the supraglacial system from ice marginal lakes. Sulphate levels were, however, still considerably higher in the subglacial waters than in surface runoff. This suggests a marked reduction in the residence time of water at the glacier bed, such that solute acquisition became dominated by dissolution of evaporites rather than carbonates. Phase III was characterised by a sharp increase in discharge and SSC, and by increasing concentrations of Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺, HCO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻ in the subglacial water. Mg²⁺ concentrations were, however, unaffected, suggesting that solute acquisition was now from calcite and gypsum, but not from dolomite. The pCO₂ of subglacial waters dropped sharply at this time, suggesting that much of the solute was derived from rapid in-channel dissolution of suspended sediment that was mobilised by rising discharges in what was now an efficient channelised drainage system.
Microbiological and hydrochemical investigations was done on the hydrological cuts coast-sea and river-sea near port Amderma (Kara Sea) in August and in the inner part of Kandalaksha Bay not far from Kandalaksha city (White Sea) in summer (August) and late winter (March). Hydrocarbons concentration in the coastal regions near Amderma varied in water from 37 to 260 µg/l, and in the inner part of Kandalaksha Bay from 10 up to 110 µg/l (measured only in March). High concentration of hydrocarbons was observed in the marine sediments in the inner part of Kandalaksha Bay, from 23 to 600 µg/g in summer and from 8 to 265 µg/g in winter. Other abiotic parameters in coastal Kara Sea region: water temperature - 2,0–5,5 C; phosphate and nitrate concentrations - 0,02–0,23 µg-at/l and <0,03–0,11 µg-at/l correspondingly. In the inner part of Kandalaksha Bay, water temperature varied from 4,5 to 15 C in summer and from 2,0 to -2,0 C in winter; phosphate and nitrate concentrations in winter varied from 0,17 to 0,69 µg-at/l and from 0,66 to 2,26 µg/l correspondingly. Natural microbial mineralization potential (NMMPoct) of hydrocarbons (velocity of their oxidation by microorganisms to CO₂ and H₂O), measured in the samples of water at in situ temperature using 14C-octadecane as a substrate, in the coastal Kara Sea waters varied from 13 to 29 ng•l⁻¹•h⁻¹. In the inner part of Kandalaksha Bay in summer NMMPoct values varied from 42 to 90 ng•l⁻¹•h⁻¹ and reduced during ice cover period not more than in two times. In some winter water samples near the same NMMPoct values as in summer was observed. The reasons for weak seasonal variations of the potential hydrocarbon-oxidizing microbial activity are discussed and the human pollution coupled with high active mesophilic and psychrophilic microbial populations may be one of the main reasons.
The Arctic is considered by many to be the “canary” of climate change and has become a focal point for climate change research. However, considerable uncertainty remains concerning the rate and extent of change and the impact on northern ecosystems. Inuvialuit in the Canadian Arctic possess a substantial body of knowledge and expertise related to climate and climate change. In recent years, communities such as Sachs Harbour are experiencing changes that they consider beyond the range of normal or expected variability. Community assessments of change are based on cumulative knowledge of local trends, patterns, and processes, derived from generations of reliance on the land. Can these community assessments, based on local observations and traditional knowledge, enrich and expand understandings of arctic climate change? Here, we describe five convergence areas that can provide a framework for using Inuvialuit knowledge and Western science together to understand climate change in the Canadian Arctic.
Cloud cover in the vicinity of polynyas and leads remains an important but highly variable parameter in the surface heat budget. Although generally serving as a negative feedback for solar radiation in summer months, clouds are intimately linked to atmospheric water vapor and latent heat flux at the surface. Further complicating the polynya dynamic is the presence of topography in the form of mountains, islands, or coastal plains, which modify the boundary layer flow and influence cloud formation. Using data collected over four different polynyas within the western Arctic, the influence of multiple and single coastlines, islands, and open-ocean topographies on cloud cover and open water is quantified.

Preliminary calculations of net cloud effect in the North Water (NOW) Polynya and on surrounding coastlines indicate that the coastal and marine environments are strongly decoupled by the high relief of Ellesmere Island. Due to orographic forcing, clouds over the coastal site tend to be cumuliform or cirriform in nature. The natural distribution of these cloud types tends to attenuate incoming solar radiation without contributing significantly to the downward longwave component associated with lower-level clouds. During periods of stratus cover, added longwave input emitted by cloud bases offsets, at least in part, the reduced incoming shortwave radiation. However, the occurrences of low-level stratus are too few and too short in duration to reverse the general negative trend of net cloud effect associated with increased cloud cover in late spring.

Comparatively, the offshore environment is populated by stratus and cumuliform clouds that cover a larger percentage of the sky. Fluctuations in the net cloud effect in the marine environment are more sensitive to the amount and distribution of clouds than type, since forcing effects among the three major cloud types are of comparable magnitude (Hanafin and Minnett, 2001).

Analyses of the other polynyas follow the same logic, comparing cloud time series and radiative elements with onshore data. These studies also determine the dependence of cloud forcing on solar zenith angle on a case-by-case basis. Relative errors in the clear-sky shortwave parameterization will better define the range of tuning coefficients necessary for successful application in both marine and land-based environments.
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Healthy white spruce live in mutualistic symbiosis with mycorrhizal fungi. Fungal mycelia engulf spruce root tips. Mycelia are much finer than either roots or root hairs, and the spruce benefit by this increased surface area for the absorption of labile nutrients and water from otherwise nutrient-poor soils. Spruce also gain physical protection for its root tips engulfed by the mycelium. This “gloved casing” provides a barrier from other microorganisms seeking to invade roots. Mycorrhizal fungi also produce antimicrobial compounds that deter competition from other fungi. In turn, the fruitbodies of mycorrhizal fungi benefit from a supply of sugars and amino acids from its host roots. Spruce may even be growing in more northern boreal forest locations where they would otherwise not persist without the advantages of the mycorrhizal relationship. Concomitantly, the mycorrhizal fungi would not be present without the spruce.

Parasitic fungi, and specifically spruce broom rust (*Chrysomyxa arcto-ostaphyli*), occur abundantly in the boreal forests of interior and southeast Alaska. It is here that the range of spruce and kinnikinnick or mealberry (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi* (L.) Spreng. var. *uva-ursi*) coincide. Germinating rust spores on the spruce result in a perennial systemic infection. Fungus produced auxins cause prolific branching of the spruce and the limb mass is called a witches broom. Regions of the spruce other than the broom continue to grow normally. Fruiting of the rust fungus occurs on the broom’s needles, causing an orange coloration. In the fall, needles are shed and the broom appears as a mass of dead twigs. Northern flying and red squirrels take advantage of these dense branch clumps. Squirrels “hollow out” brooms, raise their young in these hollows, and then cache limb-dried epigeous and hypogeous mycorrhizal fungi for their winter food supply. Trees ultimately die from
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repeated attack by parasitic rust fungi, insects, and mechanical damage. A host of decomposer heart and root rot fungi begin the process toward eventual felling of the dead trees. On the forest floor, the fallen spruce continues to play a critical role in mammal mycophagy and the mycorrhizal cycle by providing convenient raised walkways as “highways for travel” over the forest floor for the animals, which in turn leave spore-rich feces as they go. Some spores even require this passage through a rodent’s gut as a necessary precursor to germination, which completes this forest cycle.

This complex biological system is dynamically balanced through the physical environment where any changes will be reflected in the biology. Hypothesized increases in microbial activity can only exacerbate and increase concerns for altering the arctic carbon sink to further release unknown quantities of greenhouse gases. Therefore, there is a need for more integrated research to fully understand and appreciate these high-latitude ecosystems.
Recent advances in geographic information systems (GIS) make it possible to assemble large, empirical, multiparameter datasets that bear on environmental variation, process, and change. For example, GIS permits analysis of the extent, area-altitude relations, microclimatic, and major climatic relationships of all glaciers within a region. Complementary to laser-altimetry and field measurements of mass balance, this approach takes advantage of spatial, rather than temporal, variation to better understand glacier-climate relationships.

A case study for the Ahklun Mountains, southwestern Alaska, demonstrates the feasibility, resolution, and glacier-climate significance of the new approach. Data sources include high-resolution DEM’s (grid-cell spacing of 62 m), gridded PRISM climate estimates, and digitized glacier outlines from 1:63,360 topographic maps (based on aerial photography from 1972–1973). Using raster GIS, 32 parameters were calculated for each of the 106 cirque and small valley glaciers in the Ahklun Mountains, including area, elevation, slope angle, aspect, curvature, potential insolation, backwall height, hypsometric equilibrium line altitude (ELA; based on an accumulation area ratio of 0.6), summer temperature, winter precipitation, and sensitivity to climate-induced changes in ELA. The 106 cirque and small valley glaciers have a median size of 0.26 km², a total area of 59.6 km², and a statistically preferred aspect of 334°. Hypsometric ELA averages 929 m ± 127 m.

Ten percent of the ELA variation is explained by a trend surface dipping 5 m/km southwestward toward the Bering Sea as a moisture source. Inclusion of aspect, a basin coefficient, backwall height, distance from lakes, and upslope area in stepwise multiple regression brings explanation to a level of 52%, and highlights the importance of microclimatic/topographic controls on ELA and mass balance. Furthermore, 73% of ELA variation is explained by winter precipitation, summer temperature, aspect, and other microclimatic variables.

Sensitivity to a rise in ELA is estimated from area-altitude relationships. With an increase in ELA of only 50 m, accumulation areas would shift from ca. 60% of each glacier surface to only 28% on average, and total glacier area would with time decrease 40% to about 36 km².

Errors for the parameters are insignificant in comparison with high local variability. Results include not only datasets but the ability to draw meaningful relationships from spatial trends. The Ahklun glaciers will be strongly affected by climate-induced changes in accumulation or ablation.

continued on next page
An NSF-funded project was recently initiated to ascertain glacier-climate relationships across Alaska using GIS. This project will measure numerous parameters for all Alaska glaciers across strong climatic and glaciodynamic gradients, will clarify climatic controls on mass balance, and will identify which glaciers are most sensitive to 21st century climate change.
The centerpiece of the Teachers Experiencing Antarctica and the Arctic (TEA) Program is a research experience in which a K–12 teacher participates in a polar field program. The TEA teacher works closely with scientists, participates in cutting-edge research, and is immersed in the process of science. Enveloping this field experience is a diversity of professional development opportunities through which TEA teachers increase content knowledge, enhance teaching skills, transfer the experience to the classroom, assume leadership roles, and collaborate with a network of researchers and education colleagues. TEA is a partnership between teachers, researchers, students, the school district, and the community.

TEA is sponsored by the Division of Elementary, Secondary, and Informal Education (ESIE) in the Directorate of Education and Human Resources (EHR) and the Office of Polar Programs (OPP) of the NSF and facilitated by Rice University, the Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL), and the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH).

Debra A. Meese, Snow and Ice Branch, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, 72 Lyme Road, Hanover, NH 03755, Phone: 603/646-4594, Fax: 603/646-4644, dmeese@crrel.usace.army.mil

Stephanie Shipp, Department of Geology and Geophysics, Rice University, MS-126, PO Box 1892, Houston, TX 77251-1892, Phone: 713/348-2515, Fax: 713/348-5214, shippst@ruf.rice.edu

Clarice Yentsch, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY 10024-5192, Phone: 305/296-7174, cmyentsch@aol.com
The warming trend is observed in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres and it is more noticeable in the Arctic than elsewhere. The fundamental changes in the Arctic Ocean have led to remarkable environmental changes in the Canadian Basin where there were indicated:
1. a noticeable shrinking of the sea-ice cover beginning from the regular satellite observations in the 1970s; and
2. a rise in temperature and freshening of the arctic surface waters associated with intensive melting of sea ice.

As a consequence of these environmental changes within the air-ice-water system, the composition, structure, and dynamic of sea ice and upper water biological communities have been also changed. Observations made in the Canadian Basin during the SHEBA (Surface Heat Budget in the Arctic Ocean) year-round experiment in 1997–1998 and during the Russian Arctic-2000 expedition have shown that:
1. sea ice diatoms are very scarce by species and numbers;
2. fresh water green algae are dominated by numbers and distributed within the whole sea ice thickness;
3. invertebrate animals within the sea ice interior are not indicated;
4. invertebrate animals from the ice/water interface are scarce by species and numbers; and
5. concentrations of chlorophyll and nutrients in the sea ice are significantly lower than the average concentrations measured before in this region for the same period of time.

Remarkable accumulation of the organic matter within the sea ice interior was not indicated. Observed changes in the species composition and dynamic of the arctic sea ice ecosystem may be explained by the growing melting of the sea ice cover during the last decades. The main factors are:
1. drainage of fresh water throughout sea ice interior;
2. accumulation of fresh water beneath the ice; and
3. formation of 2–3 m thick pycnocline at around 30–35 m.

It seems that the recent water-ice system above the pycnocline is more a freshwater-brackish system than the real marine system. It may suggest that dramatic changes within the sea ice environment can be considered as a result of global warming in the Arctic.
Differences in cetacean habitats offshore northern Alaska have been described, based upon analyses of 10 years of aerial survey sighting data (Moore et al., 2000). One of the strongest differences described was that of bathymetric habitat selection by bowhead whales (*Balaena mysticetus*) and white whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*). In brief, filter feeding bowheads select the comparatively shallow waters of the continental shelf, while piscivorous white whales (also called belugas or belukhas) select continental slope waters. Although these differences can be clearly defined statistically, the power of a three dimensional display to illustrate the distribution of the two species in relation to bathymetry off the north shore of Alaska is striking. A further refinement to the depiction of bathymetric habitat separation is provided by a display of 75% fixed kermels around the distribution plots for each species. These figures provide dramatic portrayals of cetacean distribution, which invites collaboration with physical oceanographers and other marine biologists to further explore the habitat parameters important to arctic cetaceans.

**Reference**

As part of its National Stream Quality Accounting Network (NASQAN) program, the USGS recently launched a five-year study of water quality in the Yukon River Basin of Alaska. A fixed-station network consisting of five sites (Yukon River at Eagle, Porcupine River near Fort Yukon, Yukon River near Stevens Village, Tanana River at Nenana, and the Yukon River at Pilot Station) has been established. Sampling at the fixed sites began in October 2000. Water at these stations will be sampled approximately seven times per year (once under ice and six times during open water) and analyzed for major ions and trace elements, nutrients, organic carbon, and suspended sediment load. In addition to the baseline water quality measurements, topical studies focused on carbon cycling and climate change, mercury, potential microbial pathogen contamination, and contamination by persistent organic compounds will be undertaken. Opportunities for expanding the study and for collaborative research exist, and are encouraged at this early stage of program development.
Large-scale climatic change may threaten the stability of biological communities because, according to ecological theory, stability is only possible in stochastic environments if there is sufficiently strong self-regulation at one or more trophic levels. Using long-term data from Isle Royale, USA, on the dynamics at three trophic levels (wolves, moose, and balsam fir), we developed and tested a model of community dynamics and stability in a changing climate. This analysis revealed that large-scale climatic variability influenced dynamics directly at all three trophic levels and indirectly, through predation, at the top two levels.

The community matrix indicated that the community was stable. Stability eroded, however, when the system was modeled with either the direct or indirect influences of climate held constant. The loss of community stability was traceable to the deterioration of self-regulation at the top and bottom trophic levels as interactions with the middle trophic level intensified. These results suggest that sudden climatic changes, such as the periodic attenuation of interannual variability in a warmer climate, have the potential to alter the stability of biological communities.
In light of recent projected impacts of climate change in the arctic regions, the need has arisen for development of a network of continuous and high-resolution archives of past climate that provide records that demonstrate the range and spatial variability of climate change. Such archives include ice cores, tree rings (from at or below treeline) and continuous marine and lacustrine sediment records obtained from cores. Ideally, lacustrine and marine sediments are annually laminated and may yield annual or even seasonal signals of climatic, hydrologic, limnologic, and biogenic processes recorded in composition of the laminated components. Varved sediments have been found in several settings in the high arctic, including proglacial lakes and coastal isolation basins. In these isostatically controlled meromictic lakes and density-stratified coastal inlets, optimal laminated sediment preservation occurs due to density-stratification of the basin with anoxic and saline bottom water trapped at depth behind an emerging bedrock sill. In these isolated basins, stagnant bottom water excludes occupation and burrowing by bottom-dwelling fauna.

This poster focuses on Cape Hurd Lake, a coastal basin on southwestern Devon Island (74°34’ N, 89°38’ W). Cape Hurd Lake is presently at sea level and is connected to adjacent marine waters by a narrow, shallow channel incised through a sandy and gravelly emergent spit, which served as the threshold or sill that isolated the basin from the sea. The watershed of the lake is 47 km² and has two main inlet streams that contribute runoff from watershed snowpack and glacier meltwater from an adjacent plateau ice cap. The lake basin is steep sided with a flat-floored, central basin with a maximum depth of 55 m. Prior to runoff in May to early June, 1999 and 2000, ice thickness ranged from 1.5 to 2.0 meters. The water column is hypersaline (43 ppt) below 35 meters and is overlain by a cap of less saline marine water. In late summer, the basin is generally ice-free and seawater enters the basin through the narrow outlet and circulates in the epilimnion.

Climatic measurements are recorded in the watershed with an automated weather station situated on the edge of the adjacent plateau north of the lake. In addition, a programmable digital camera linked to the weather station records and stores time-lapse photographs of the watershed, allowing for monitoring of hourly sky conditions, snowpack,
runoff, lake ice extent, and sea ice in the channel beyond the lake. In the lake, ice thickness, and secchi depth are monitored, and water column conditions are measured using a CTD instrument that records temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and light transmission. Sediment traps with funnels were deployed at various sites in the lake to determine seasonal sediment flux. A submersible video camera was used to reveal the extent of ice algae growing on the underside of the ice cover and the nature of the basin floor.

Sediment cores up to 3 meters in length were recovered in several transects across the basin. The sediment core records have been organized into litho- and biostratigraphic zones based on sediment textures, lamination type, and biotic components:

**Zone 1:** The base of the core is comprised of massive mud interrupted by thin, disturbed biogenic/terrestrial laminations. The massive mud contains marine macro- and microfossils and is a result of deposition in an open marine inlet prior to the onset of anoxia in the basin.

**Zone 2:** A thick (2.5 m) sequence of laminated sediments overlies the massive mud. The bottom of the laminated sequence begins with diffuse laminae overlain by biogenic-terrestrial couplets comprised of diatoms and cysts with fine silty terrigenous mud cap. The fine couplets reflect onset of anoxia in the basin with seasonal terrigenous sediment influx coupled with marine biogenic productivity and preservation of the organic matter on the basin floor. The laminated sediments are interbedded with three layers (7 to 10 cm thick) of massive, bioturbated mud containing forams, diatoms, and fecal pellets. This zone likely reflects breaching of the newly emerged bedrock sill and reoxygenation of the basin.

**Zone 3:** Laminited sediments are variable in texture and composition. The zone is dominated by terrestrial laminae and accompanied by an increase in grain size. Diatoms and organic matter are rare in this zone.

**Zone 4:** Zone is variable in grain size as a result of the frequent occurrence of turbidity current deposition. Composition of the lighter layers in the zone progressively grades from Si-rich to Ca-rich, reflecting decrease in diatomaceous material and increase in detrital carbonate.

**Zone 5:** Laminae gradually become thinner and finer until the laminations become diffuse. Loss-on-ignition data and EDS maps indicate an increase in organic material.

**Zone 6:** The uppermost sediments in the cores are characterized by an increase in grain size and presence of diatoms. Numerous fining-upward turbidite sequences likely reflect delta instability due to increased terrestrial sediment flux, possibly due to increased glacier runoff.
Vegetation on the Seward Peninsula, Alaska, which is characterized by transitions from tundra to boreal forest, may be sensitive to the influences of climate change on disturbance and species composition. To determine the ability to detect decadal-scale structural changes in vegetation, change vector analysis (CVA) techniques were evaluated for Landsat thematic mapper (TM) imagery of the Seward Peninsula. Scenes were geographically corrected to subpixel accuracy and then radiometrically rectified. The CVA detected vegetation change on more than 50% of the burned region on TM imagery for up to nine years following fire. Between the 1986 and 1992 satellite scenes, the CVA detected changes in direction and magnitude of the two indices (TM Band 4/TM Band 3, TM Band 5). Overall, approximately 759,610 ha changed to a class with a more developed canopy and only 268,132 ha changed to a class with a less developed canopy. CVA results and photo interpretation together show that shrub advance is approximately 100 m in valleys north of the Bendeleben Mountains and that shrubs have increased along riverbed bottoms. Thus, the change detection analysis based on the unsupervised classification indicates that land-cover change on the Seward Peninsula was predominantly in the direction of increased shrubbiness. Taken together, our comparison of CVA results, unsupervised classification results, and visual interpretation of aerial photographs suggests that shrub cover may be increasing on the Seward Peninsula, which is consistent with results from experimental warming in tundra. The use of both CVA and unsupervised classification together provided a more powerful interpretation of change than either method alone in transitional regions between tundra and boreal forest.
The polar cap is the upper-atmosphere cum-magnetosphere region which is enclosed by the poleward boundary of the auroral oval and is threaded by open geomagnetic field lines. In this region, there is normally a steady precipitation (polar drizzle) of low energy (~100eV) electrons, which excite optical emissions from the ionosphere. At times, enhanced ionization patches are formed near the dayside cusp region which drift across the polar cap towards the night sector of the auroral oval. Discrete auroral arcs and auroras formed during solar magnetic cloud (SMC)/coronal mass ejection (CME) events are also observed in the polar cap. Spectrophotometric observations of all these polar cap phenomena from the arctic stations in Longyearbyen (79° N), Svalbard, Eureka (80° N), and Resolute Bay (76° N), Canada as well as Sondrestromfjord (67° N), Greenland, provide a measure of the average energy as well as energy flux of the electrons precipitating in the polar cap region during these disturbances. Such measurements also point to the planetary, tidal, and gravity wave modulations of the polar mesosphere-lower thermosphere (MLT) during six-months-long dark polar winters. Most of the polar cap MLT air density and temperature modulations appear to represent the effects of zonally symmetric tides whose Hough functions peak in the polar region. MLT cooling during stratospheric warming events and their relation to polar vortex and associated gravity wave activities are also observed at the polar cap sites. Results of optical remote sensing of these polar cap phenomena from the four arctic stations are discussed.
Anthropogenic Signals Recorded in an Ice Core from Eclipse Icefield, Yukon Territory, Canada

Cameron P. Wake, University of New Hampshire; Kaplan Yalcin; Deana Aulisio

Glaciochemical records developed from several Greenland and eastern Canadian Arctic ice cores reveal a significant increase in nitrate and sulfate over the past 100 years due to an increase in anthropogenic emissions from industrialized regions. Temporal trends in the ice core records vary by region. Comparison with regionalized anthropogenic emissions data suggests that both North America and Eurasia are the source of pollution for different regions of the Arctic. The ice core records from regions close to the Arctic Circle also show a recent decrease in sulfate deposition, presumably due to emissions control efforts in Europe and North America.

In contrast to the Greenland and eastern Canadian Arctic ice cores, a glaciochemical record from Mt. Logan (5,340 m) in the St. Elias Range, Yukon Territory, displays no significant increase in sulfate or nitrate over the past 100 years, indicating this mid-tropospheric site remains unaffected by anthropogenic emissions. However, we have recently developed a glaciochemical record from a lower elevation site in the St. Elias range (Eclipse Icefield, 3,107 m) that shows a clear increase in the annual flux of sulfate and nitrate in the late 1940s. The sulfate flux reached peak levels in the 1980s and has since leveled off while the nitrate flux has continued to increase. Comparison of the Eclipse record with regional anthropogenic emission estimates suggests that the former Soviet Union is the dominant source of pollutants reaching Eclipse, similar to the situation at the Agassiz Ice Cap on northern Ellesmere Island. Elevated manganese:vanadium ratios from the upper 50 years of the record provides additional evidence for a Eurasian source of pollution deposited at Eclipse. We have also developed an iron and aluminum deposition record for the past 50 years from the Eclipse core, and compare this with different circulation indices (e.g., Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Aleutian Low, ENSO) and records of fish populations in the North Pacific.

Cameron P. Wake, Climate Change Research Center, EOS, University of New Hampshire, Morse Hall, Durham, NJ 03824, Phone: 603/862-2329, Fax: 603/862-2124, cameron.wake@unh.edu

Kaplan Yalcin, Climate Change Research Center, EOS, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NJ 03824, kaplin.yalcin@unh.edu

Deana Aulisio, Climate Change Research Center, EOS, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NJ 03824
Variability of the sea-ice cover (extent) in the Northern Hemisphere (arctic and subpolar regions) associated with AO (Arctic Oscillation) is investigated using historical data from 1901 to 1997. A principal component analysis (empirical orthogonal functions, EOFs) was applied to sea ice area (SIA) anomalies for the period 1953–1995. The leading EOF mode for the SIA anomaly shows an in-phase fluctuation in response to AO and is named ASIO (Arctic sea ice oscillation). Arctic sea ice experiences seasonal variations of different types in timing and magnitude. Four types of seasonal variations are identified in the arctic sea ice, superimposed on long-term interannual to decadal variability. Consistent with the total arctic SIA anomaly, eight regional SIA anomalies have shown significant in-phase decrease (downward trend) since 1970, possibly part of a very long-term (century) cycle. Thus, it is recommended that SIA anomalies in the sensitive seasons be used to better capture interannual, interdecadal, and longer (century) variability. Major decadal and interdecadal time scales of SIA anomalies are found every 12–14 and 17–20 years. In the Sea of Okhotsk, a century time scale is evident. The reduction rate (negative trend) of the total arctic sea ice cover in the last three decades is -4.5% per decade with the summer rate being the highest (-10.2% per decade). The contribution to this total reduction varies from region to region, among which sea-ice cover in the Greenland and Norwegian Seas experiences the highest reduction rate of -20.2% per decade.
The David Brown Book Company Book Exhibit

Amanda M. Young, The David Brown Book Company

The David Brown Book Company will be representing Aarhus University Press and University of Iceland Press (available for the first time in North America). Our display will include books on anthropology, archaeology, politics, and economics in arctic regions. Please come browse our selection and take advantage of the 20% conference discount.
Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM)

Bernard D. Zak, Sandia National Laboratories
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