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2003-2004: Beaufort Gyre Freshwater Experiment: Study of fresh water accumulation and release mechanism and a role of fresh water in Arctic climate variability – NSF

2004-2005: Beaufort Gyre Freshwater Observing System – WHOI


2009-2014: AON: Continuing the Beaufort Gyre Observing System to Document and Enhance Understanding Environmental Change in the Arctic – NSF

2015-2018: AON – Continuing the Beaufort Gyre Observing System to Document and Enhance Understanding of the Beaufort Gyre Freshwater Reservoir Transformations and Fate – NSF
Greater than half of the total Arctic Ocean’s liquid freshwater is stored in the Canada Basin with its Beaufort Gyre (BG) which contains more than 20,000 km$^3$ of liquid freshwater (i.e. Aagaard and Carmack, 1989).

The volume of freshwater in the BG is practically identical to the volume of fresh water in Lake Baikal (23,000 km$^3$), the largest lake on the Earth, and is comparable with fresh water volume stored in all Great Lakes (23,000 km$^3$). The BG volume is at least 5 times larger than the total annual river runoff to the Arctic Ocean and approximately two times larger than the volume of fresh water stored in Arctic sea ice.

The BG has a capability to impact climate releasing large volumes of freshwater to the Labrador and Nordic Seas and inhibiting deep convection there, that may reduce intensity of the ocean meridional overturning circulation and result in climate cooling.
Questions and Hypotheses

- What is the origin of the salinity minimum in the BG?
- How does this salinity or freshwater content change in time?
- What are the driving forces of the BG circulation and how stable is the BG system?

Proshutinsky et al. [2002] hypothesized that the BG collects freshwater in its center under anticyclonic winds due to convergence of Ekman transport and subsequent Ekman pumping; and releases it when wind weakens or changes sense to cyclonic and the Ekman transport convergence reduces or changes to divergence, respectively.
In order to test this hypothesis, the BG Observing System (BGOS) was established in 2003 as a part of the Beaufort Gyre Exploration Project.

Later, this project has been continued and expanded because of importance of the BG freshwater reservoir for climatic changes in the Arctic and Sub-arctic regions.

The BGOS was designed to observe and investigate year-round and long-term changes associated with freshwater and heat fluxes in the entire BG climate system at standard locations measuring all possible water, ice and environmental parameters which can explain fresh water transformations (liquid and solid) and changes under variable sources and forcing.
BGOS: geography and standard observational sites

2003 - 2018
Standard mooring (stars) and CTD (circles) sites
BGOS: Instrumentation

- Upward-looking sonar
- McLane Moored Profiler
- Sediment trap
- Seismic work
- Bongo nets
- ADCP
- BPR
- Ice-Tethered Profiler
- CTD
### BGOS deployments/recoveries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CTD</th>
<th>XCTD</th>
<th>ITP</th>
<th>IMB</th>
<th>AOFB</th>
<th>O-buoy</th>
<th>Moor-s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1/o</td>
<td>1/o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2/o</td>
<td>2/o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>37/8</td>
<td>23/0</td>
<td>20/0</td>
<td>10/1</td>
<td>47/45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Standard
1. Ocean physical parameters: T, S, currents, bottom pressure
2. Sea ice: Draft, drift

B. Non-standard by other programs using BGOS logistics
   • Lowered ADCP
   • Sediment traps
   • Zooplankton sampling
   • Sea ice physical and chemical properties
   • Wildlife observing
   • Seismology
   • Turbulence measurements
   • Buoys: Up-Tempo, IMBs, O-buoys, AOFB, ITPs, SAMs – IBOs, polar profiling floats
   • Drift bottles
   • Media filming
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The first program results for 2003-2007 were published in JGR special section “Beaufort Gyre Climate System Exploration Studies” (JGR Oceans, vol. 115, no. C1, 2010).

To date, over 80 peer-reviewed publications have utilized BGOS data.
**Hydrography:** Hydrographic data indicate that liquid fresh water in the BG in summer increased 5410 cubic km from 2003 to 2010 and decreased a bit in 2011-2014 but in 2015 it reached it absolute maximum of 22,600 cubic km or 5600 cubic km over climatology of the 1950s-1980s.

**Sea ice:** Negative trends in ice drafts are observed, while open water fraction have increased, attesting to the ablation or removal of the older sea ice from the BG over the observational period. A shift occurred toward thinner ice after 2007. (Krishfield et al., 2014)
BGOS major results: Freshwater
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No ice data yet
BGOS major results: Circulation

see Proshutinsky et al., 2009; McPhee et al., 2009; McPhee, 2013
BGOS major results:

Ice draft

Negative trends in ice drafts are observed, while open water fraction have increased, attesting to the ablation or removal of the older sea ice from the BG over the observational period.

A shift occurred toward thinner ice after 2007.

(Krishfield et al., 2014)
**Freshwater composition:** During the rapid increase in BG freshwater content over 2005-2007 sea-ice meltwater increased by 2.7 m in the central BG region and low-salinity water from the Mackenzie River was advected to the southern BG region. (Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2009a)

**Ocean acidification:** Surface waters of the BG became undersaturated with respect to aragonite in 2008 - the first sign of acidification in the global deep ocean (Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2009b). Three factors contributed: reduced sea-ice extent (~30%), increased sea-ice melt (~30%), and anthropogenic CO₂ (~40%). The deeper Pacific Winter Water is also undersaturated, due to anthropogenic CO₂, with negative implications for shelled benthic organisms during upwelling to shelf ecosystems (Mathis et al., 2011).
Organic carbon cycle: Hwang et al. (2008) analyzed sediment traps at BGOS mooring A, finding that, unlike other ocean basins, the bulk of particulate organic carbon entering the deep BG region is supplied by horizontal advection from the surrounding margins and that both the organic and inorganic carbon cycle in the Arctic is inherently linked to ocean dynamics.

Ecosystem Effects: McLaughlin and Carmack (2010) noted that FW changes from 2007 to 2009 in the BG depressed the top of the halocline and increased the stratification there by 25%, thus deepening the upper nutricline and associated summertime subsurface chlorophyll maximum and making nutrients less available. These harsher conditions coincided with a shift in near-surface ecosystem structure towards the smallest plankton (Li et al., 2009).
Mike DeGrandpre (Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Montana) asked me to show you these results (left figure) from moorings recovered in October 2015 BG cruise.
pCO2 sensor was located at 37m depth at mooring B and pH sensor at Mooring. It is assumed the big pCO2 swings at mooring B are due to eddies. These are also collected within the halocline (not in the mixed layer) and so have variability due to that, although not as evident under ice.
The pCO2 begins to drop in early May, presumably due to biological production. The slight warming would increase the pCO2 slightly, so the production is counteracting that.
We speculate that longer duration ACCRs could result in Arctic cooling accompanied by increased ice extent and thickness—similar to conditions observed in the 1970s. (see Proshutinsky et al. (2015) Arctic circulation regimes, Philosophical Transactions A of Royal Society; in “Arctic sea ice: the evidence, models and impacts”, doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.01600)